


neverhadaboss.com
updates on the insane world of money and power
*'Clowns to the Left of Me—Jokers to the Right'*

'Here I am—stuck in the middle with you.' Imagine, just for moment, to rid themselves of pesky questioners, elites gave us our own nation, within the United States. If the square miles allotted to questioners were based on a percentage of total population, the elites wouldn't lose much territory.

Trump (blanketed in lies about what he would do in his 2nd term), crafted a win in 2024. Now he sings a different tune, saying that if he were on the ballot he would have won—easily. Simply more lies. Democrats weren't on the ballot yesterday—it was Trump. With no meaningful platform to stand on, democrats turned-out, en masse, to vote against Trump.

And well they should have—Trump is an out-of-control tyrant, needing to be shut down. I stayed up late watching the results, vindictively hoping the dems would win Senate seats in Mississippi/Alaska, giving them 58 votes (counting 2 independents that caucus with the dems). I voted for no democrat, but I wanted them to thrash Trump's ass—vote the bum out—because I've come to loath much of who he is and what he stands for.

That view makes me unlike Putin who would rather have Trump—even though Putin knows Trump will not do the right thing. Putin is able to occasionally whisper in Trump's ear, compared to 4 years of Biden when nobody talked with Putin (or anyone Russian)—causing millions to die.

Iran is pleased to see the Trump train, derailed. Dems could defund the war. Maybe impeach Trump—probably not. Either way, these next two months before the new US Congress is seated is to be the most dangerous time in Iranian history. Too many brain dead, post election pundits in the States, in support of the war, disagree with JD Vance: the war need not have been fought. They contend, instead, we needed to go big. Another Desert Storm

The left—just like Trump in 2024, are seeing their win as a mandate. It's not. Instead, it's a reaction to Trump Derangement Syndrome, directed at an actually deranged Trump. And though it may express a meanness on my part, I want to see a murderous Hegseth brought up on charges, and Treasury Secretary, Bessent, worst of the worst, deprived from his role as the destroyer of nations in furtherance of Trump's mafioso manifesto.

It was interesting to watch the pundits, post election. Some pussyfooting from the right, yet careful with their words, unwilling to call Trump out for the asshole he is. On the left, we're back to misuse of terms. The left defines which democrats (they're all liberals of course), are assigned to the *progressive* camp. Take AOC, who came in as a *progressive*, but is now seen as someone who has *moderated*. When a legislator gives up on the ethical values that got them elected—that's seen as *moderating*.

There are no liberals on the left side of the aisle. I know because I grew-up as a blue-collar democrat—socially liberal and fiscally conservative. Show me the liberals. Liberals adhere to the Bill of Rights and the Constitution—both of which are anathema to the left.

*Progressives*—gimme a break! Unless they mean it as when cancer progresses throughout an organism. *Progress*, as the dems intend, is forward progress. But no, DEI is not progress forward in any way. DEI, now back on track, is utter insanity.

Case in point: Megan Rapinoe, LGBTQ+ (DEI) advocate, 2-time World Cup soccer champion—offers a rationale that allows biological males to participate in women's sports. She begins with: sports is never a level playing-field. Some athletes are naturally taller, stronger, faster, more physically gifted than others. Some have access to better coaching, training facilities, equipment, opportunities. Her conclusion rests on a 2026 scientific review (50 studies/6,000 subjects): *trans* women receiving gender-affirming hormone therapy had physical fitness levels comparable to *biological* women.

Megan informs us that fewer than 10 trans women out of more than half a million participate in professional/collegiate sports. That depends on where you don't look. The *Washington Times* reports trans athletes have won at least 2,293 gold medals in women's sports. Trans-identifying men have collected medals in women's competitions across the U.S., earning $501,498 in prize money since the mid-1980s. Is somebody out there biased?

Megan reminds us we're not just talking about policies, championships, and trophies. We're talking about people. We're talking about young people who want to participate, belong, develop friendships, challenge themselves, and experience the benefits of playing sports. She reminds us that fairness in sports matters (*apparently not extending to biological women*), but so do human rights, dignity, and having the opportunity to participate.

Checking with Google—no trans woman has ever won an Olympic medal. Is that right? What about that Algerian boxer, Imane Khelif, who beat the crap out of an Italian woman and won Olympic gold. Tests (allegedly), came back showing Imane as a biological male, but later (for sake of DEI?), the results were overturned. In my opinion, I watched a male beat-up a female—and as a result, *her* gold medal is a disingenuous political fraud.

Megan makes the case for DEI, not fairness. Her point about sports never

being a level playing field is correct. That's why different sports (combat), have weight classes. In the 1950's there were 8 weight classes in professional boxing—now there are 18. Golf and track, in an attempt to attain to fairness, have masters categories—age groupings.

Rapinoe might be politically driven—to the point of denying genetics, but she doesn't come close to the mendacity that is Trump. His inability to accept responsibility might set him off, half-cocked (along with his buddy Netanyahu)—before the new Congress/Knesset gets seated. That leaves us 2 positive possibilities: beneath his crusty ego, Trump experiences seething humiliation, such that he simply walks away, or, Israeli/US military leaders see the madness of tyrants taking unspeakable actions—and just say no.

Meanwhile, where one opts to reside in the West places one in a distinct tyranny—each different from the other. In the EU/Britain, just the mention of 'the great replacement' (as laid in my last article), with migrants eventually taking-over Europe/Britain, can get you 3 years in jail and a $75K fine. In the US, as long as Trump lives and breathes—'great replacement' is fair game.

For residents/visitors of Europe/Britain, criticism of Israel brings with it, criminal charges, because criticism of Israel is legally conflated with 'anti-semitism'. In the US, we Americans are seemingly protected by the 1st Amendment—not necessarily on the street—but in court.

But that could change during Trump's 2 remaining years—maybe in cahoots with the dems who also owe their jobs to Israel. Making critique of Israeli politics into anti semitism would be an interesting event, given the most vocal critics of Israeli policy/genocide in the US are not who you might think. It's American Jews—making the distinction between their heritage/genocide.

Get my articles by email with a request, or comment: erik@neverhadaboss.com. Please pass my articles to friends, and thanks for your support.