



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

*You Take The Blue Pill—The Story Ends*

Covid was allegedly 'released' in the fall of 2019. Was it part of a plan to 'cull the herd' to elite-acceptable size? And did it serve to stave-off economic collapse indicated in the repo market? Evidence supports a 'herd cull', and the 'covid response' functioned to delay economic collapse. Also, before the fact, Pharma had knowledge of the 'release'.

In October, 1919, 'health regulators', purportedly announced 'experimental gene therapy' (allegedly tied to Ralph Barrick, NIH), describing as a scheduled 'accidental or intentional release' of a virus. 'Release' rather than 'escape', announced before the fact, offers up a wholly different reality to a fable of 'bats flying around a fish market'.

Whether accidental/purposeful, if physicians with effective treatments had been allowed to defend against the virus, 'vaccines' would have lost the 'emergency-use' status given to the 'experimental therapy'—which necessitated it be the 'sole viral remedy'.

Now there is contention as to whether an actual 'vaccine' was produced because the therapy was not made using established vaccine protocol, from an actual virus. Instead, drug makers allegedly used not real, but 'something-like', virus components.

In this way, an 'experiment' was foisted upon the world. Making it worse, there appears to have been extensive coordination among the powers that be. For example, John's Hopkins University, was named for the advocate behind hydroxychloroquine, a researcher who championed its use in treating malaria. Ironically, Johns Hopkins was one of the 'great deniers' that the drug could be used as a treatment for covid. And miraculously, they were able to somehow arrive at that epiphany without protocol/trials.

It gets worse. A 2 hour interview with an internationally known physician/scientist, Dr. William Sly, links most UK 'excess deaths', not to covid (which disappeared as a killer in short order), and more-so to 'vaccines', but a greater number to malathion, administered in 'nursing homes', or to the covid/'vaccine injured', hospitalized, elderly patients. Dr. Sly makes the case that malathion slows respiration—just what someone suffering from severe 'respiratory infection' needs—the result of which caused their demise.

With an alleged 'release' of something appearing to be a virus in 2019, with a malathion 'culling agent' as part of the protocol, and a 'eugenic mission' tracing back to the Nazis, then forward to the Club of Rome, to the presently esteemed 'WEF', why would any be surprised to find evidence for that mission to have been brought forward?

A better question is why elites, after all we know about their activities tied to NIH/

CDC/FDA, continue to walk freely and remain alive? Have we become that stupid? Once burned, will we stand by when they besiege us with updated lies and mandates?

I've been using my 95% rule when asked to describe recent deaths of friends. Did they die from the 'vaccines'? A 95% chance I answer—because, although healthy 60 year-olds can die from massive strokes—'excess vaccine deaths' point to 'vaccines'.

But my 95% is flawed. 'Excess death' research suggests 6X more 'vaccinated' die from stroke than do 'unvaccinated'. My 'irreplaceable friend' Jimmy died from a massive stroke. Measured by 'excess deaths', I now blame 'vaccines' 85%—for my loss. .

"You Take The Blue Pill—The Story Ends"—What does that mean? Because friends are dying from taking 'the blue pill' I want to lash out and call it murder. And maybe it is murder for those elites who knew what they were doing and knew people would die.

What's the 'blue pill'? The kindest description I can offer is: those that take the 'blue pill' adhere to an elite meme of 'policy driven science', rather than 'science driven policy'. And, given that elites purportedly knew beforehand that the virus was manmade and the 'vaccines' were not 'vaccines' but 'gene therapies' that could not stop transmission and likely do more harm than good, we have to ask the question about murder.

Do I know the elite intended to murder people with the 'release' of the virus and their lies about the 'vaccines'? Purposely murdering people would be an ideological thing—having to do with saving the planet? On some level, that would indicate caring on their part. But caring is something I don't associate with the elite. I just don't see elites flying about in private jets to receive 'climate change' awards as supporting ethical values.

The choice I'm left with falls between 'serial killers' and power/profit scumbags. And, for those 100s of millions who buy-into elite lies about caring (some of whom I know well), they are either 'aiding and abetting' murderers, or, for a promise that they will be spared, allowed to exist in return for allegiance—they're 'blue pill'—no longer matter.

But the 'blue pill' is much more than that. When (recently departed), Victoria Nuland offers that half of the Russian military has been devastated, that 'pill' has to be swallowed. When Lloyd Austin contends that if Ukraine loses, NATO will necessarily be at war with Russia—without an 'reasoned account'—that lunacy needs to be swallowed.

If Russia prevails, Austin tells us, 'knowing Putin', he will not stop at Ukraine. This unsubstantiated lunacy should be sufficient to have Austin removed from his position. And the push to send a few score more \$ billions to Ukraine is utter madness. The only nation with the needed artillery shells to hold off the Russians, is Russia. Even if the West could produce non-existent artillery shells, there are not UKR troops to use them.

And something about which the 'blue pill' needs swallowed—without question. Any reference to the 2020 election being stolen. Something to think on: Trump was convinced the election was stolen (so was I), and he was worked up about it, such that he maybe said a couple of things best not said? But nothing he did rose to 'insurrection'. Instead, government response to January 6th was an insurrection against the republic.

Texas (and 18 states), brought an 'election irregularities' suit, but the Supreme Court sidestepped its 'original jurisdiction'—as the only arbiter for state against state lawsuits.

Now, the SC (thankfully), unanimously dismissed Colorado's action to keep Trump off the ballot. But, indecisively, kicking it to Congress. Avoiding constitutional clarity/mandates in 'ducking' the Texas lawsuit and rather 'narrow findings' regarding Trump, I 'find' no SC courage. Just, another 'blue pill' example of 'policy driven political science'.

Get my articles by email with a request: erik@neverhadaboss.com. And thank you.