



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

*Without the 2nd Amendment— You Got Nothin*

In the United States there are many more guns in rural areas than in cities—and many more guns are held in the hands of republicans than democrats. If guns were to be the culprit in gun-deaths, we would expect to find more gun deaths in rural, 'fly-over' states.

But gun deaths are neither associated with numbers of guns, nor reduced by gun restrictions. Death by firearm is instead associated with poverty and lack of education. 2017 FBI stats show a 250% greater risk of being shot in a city than in a rural area (where there are more guns by order of magnitude). So what is causing gun deaths?

Whatever it is, doesn't have to do with guns. The US has one of the lowest homicide rates, per gun, in the world. Not only do we own guns, we are free to carry them. Exceptions have been made as to where we cannot 'bear arms'—government offices, polling places, and schools. Is that constitutional? From my vantage, it is not. If government doesn't want angry armed citizens in its 'hallowed halls', treat us with decency.

No guns in polling places? Give all those retired persons back their pencils to count votes—make an effort to conduct free and fair elections for Americans—then it's not a problem to have a concealed weapon in a polling place. Excepting if you are Antifa. Schools? Police are often too concerned for their own safety. to be relied on. In a school shooting every minute counts—good cops might get there a minute too late. Trained individuals/teachers, familiar with guns/'concealed carry'—I want them around.

With a number of states attempting to negate SC findings over Heller findings (individual right to keep arms), and Bruen (keep and bear arms), what agenda from a 'criminal left', do we have to stay vigilant towards? Start with 'red flag laws'. There are no 'red flags' in US precedents and the SC has ruled that all decisions having to do with the 2nd Amendment need to be in accord with historical United States legal precedent.

With no concern for justice, our government treats us the way they treat other nations—desecrating liberty and human decency. First, they put the onus of proving what was 'historical precedent' on the accused. When the court said no—requiring the Feds to show precedent—our leaders they showed just 'how low they would go' so as to win.

Elites brought into court, as historical precedent, laws that predated the Constitution, under which Catholics, minors, Indians, freed slaves, and women had no 2nd Amendment protection. Seeing it as a bullshit ploy, the judge ruled no: every law-abiding US citizen has the right to keep and bear arms. The Constitution is 'the law of the land'.

Now they come with 'malinformartion'—where facts are a measure of nothing. Here the 'left' resorts to 'thought crime'—maybe best described as 'before the fact crime'. Something like being required to judge the outcome of something that hasn't yet happened. As in an attorney general indicted for writing a brief on behalf of citizens challenging the validity of an election. Many elections in American history have been accused of being illegitimate, so how could an AG be indicted for doing what is his job? Maybe in the way that simply being present in the Capitol on January 6th has, without basis, has made felonies from offenses that, under the law, are trespass misdemeanor.

Factoring-in the average rate of gun homicide in poor/uneducated nations, as compared to the number of guns in the US, translates into 3 million Americans killed by guns—each year—a number more than all Americans killed in all wars in US history.

Facts are an irritant for an elite goal to 'disenfranchising' citizens from 2nd Amendment protections. How do they intend to make it illegal for citizens to 'keep and bear' arms? By using a tactic they use for cannabis. 'Weed' is now legal, either medically or recreationally, in 30-some states, but under federal law it is illegal. So, if someone, in possession of a firearm admits to using or is caught with cannabis, they are guilty of a federal crime and cannot possess a firearm. Fed docs refer to 'users' as 'non-virtuous'.

As government strives to take the guns from Americans, they, at the same time, labor diligently to make every place—non-gun area. Assuming the SC upholds 3 places one cannot 'carry', how can 'gun restriction nuts' link 'everyplace' to those 3 places?

They will try, but since the Bruen decision, 2022 has seen more 'gun law restrictions' overturned than at any other time. State law cannot supersede federal law concerning gun control—and the Supreme Court (as final arbiter for 2nd Amendment rulings), has come out strongly in support of individual American's right to keep and bear arms.

The 'left' is 'swinging for the fences' for gun restriction and confiscation but even with additional state laws, unless they can undermine the federal court system (which they are attempting in earnest), given SC findings, they have no viable path forward. Then again, the makeup of the SC/Congress is subject to change and with it the laws.

Will Congress even take up the mantle of 'gun laws'? Or will they, as not particularly concerned, hand it over to agencies—avoiding responsibility? A few minutes of Senate hearings shows 'poly sci' as absent not only in high school curriculum—most legislators, unconcerned/Bill of Rights averse could not pass a 1960 8th grade civics exam.

Most legislators have no connection to the Constitution is—or to what it does. Today, a senator (in reference to the 1st and 2nd Amendments), said: *No right 'given' to citizens of the United States is absolute.* She doesn't understand that rights are not given—rights exist 'immutably'. Something that can be taken away is a 'privilege'—not a right. American rights are our unalienable means to pursue life, liberty, and happiness.

There is reason to be hopeful—but we are up against it. 86% of mass shooting take place in 'gun free areas' (designated in the 1990's), and 'blue cities' (to obscure the facts), are not reporting crime statistics to the FBI until after the 2024 elections.

Notwithstanding that conservatives have been their own historical blight on US politics, the 'left and legions of minions' show willingness to knowingly avert their eyes from justice and liberty in a quest for ideological control. "See no evil' acolytes, when questioned, look to elites for reasons to not have to look at things they don't want to see.

Without the 2nd Amendment, forget the other 9. Without your guns, you got nothin.

You can get my articles by email, by request: erik@neverhadaboss.com. And thanks.