

neverhadaboss.com updates on the insane world of money and power Will We Lose Our 'Liberty' To Elite 'Control'?

By law, in our constitutional republic, there can be no government mandate that runs contrary to the 1st Amendment. Powers/rights not constitutionally mandated to federal/state governments, remain with the citizens. But, given the reality we live in 'mandate-o-mania', what protections against mandates are needed to insure American 'liberties'?

At very least, any 'extraconstitutional mandate' has, by default, a requirement to convince those to be mandated on the merit that the mandate purports to accomplish. Convincing us that a mandate will accomplish more good than harm—is our birthright.

The degree of freedom in a society, ebbs and flows, historically. At one time there is more liberty than control—then over a cycle, it shifts. Any transfer of 'rights' is 'quid pro quo'. As with now, with 'liberty' traded for 'control', nothing is erased—instead, it moves.

Think of it in terms of a quote: "Hard times create strong men, strong men create good times, good times create weak men, and weak men create hard times." Our nation of strong men has degraded into a nation of weak men (on the road to no men at all?). It's weak men that are leading us into what is likely the worst period in our history.

That said, elites are in disarray—under siege from all sides. When we hear that covid was a well-planned DARPA mission, or bitcoin is a CIA plot that is proceeding on schedule—don't fully accept it. There are master plans, but they're being implemented by idiots who could not change a flat tire along the road. Rather than a well-oiled plan, this is more a classic Winston Churchill reaction: "never let a good crisis go to waste."

Not that the elite can't pull-off a 'hostile takeover', with Biden issuing an executive order allowing illegals to vote in November, then moving aside for Michelle Obama (not responsible for that executive order), and Kamila Harris gets 'an offer she can't refuse'.

Could that happen without setting-off voter's 'tilt meter'? It could, but I doubt it. Instead, the elite, before herding us into CBDC or a WHO treaty, will be in for the fight of their lives. So, where is their weak spot—the place they prove to be most vulnerable?

Consider the question in terms of 'vaccine' mandates. A purported US funded virus (covid), killed a whole lot of old folks, then followed-up with mRNA hell, unleashing untold misery on the economy. Now, the citizens are being readied for a WHO mandate?

Is that going to work? I think not. For that to work there would have to be elite credibility—not indicated by poll numbers of 25%. The ultimate takeover has to be done incrementally. Other than that, elites invite revolution. A WHO treaty, in the aftermath of

unnecessary, excess deaths/adverse reactions, without provisions to protect 'liberty' under the Constitution and the Bill of Rights, before the election, would be a tough sell.

Too many people are sick, and not from a covid that is no longer viable. Now, questions are being raised about excess deaths—that legislators run from at first mention.

In point of fact, the number of British 'excess deaths' for an equal period of the WW2 'blitz' that killed 40K Brits, is 102K. This represents clear/present danger. In the US, it is worse: less than 60K Americans died in Vietnam over 7 years, but now, in less than 2 years, the US accounts for 1.6M excess deaths. That's 10X the Vietnam death rate.

If somehow they slip a WHO treaty by us, then it doesn't matter because it's all over but the shouting. Before that, elites need reined-in. Recognizing the facts behind covid lockdowns/mRNA 'vaccines' lays-out what's a stake in a transfer of 'liberty' to 'control'.

The covid/vax horror played-out with a demand that physician/patient relationships be subjugated, ceding control to greatly compromised health regulators (the bigger the organization—the less freedom). The elite played that card and soon we get to see if Americans are willing to stand up for their 'freedom to choose' rights, or bow to elites.

In multiple states, legislatures are being made aware of needed requirements before government can mandate anything to do with health (this week's HighWire addresses the Arizona legislature). For a health mandate to even be 'dress-up-ed' as something constitutional (health mandates are in no way constitutional), there are requirements.

'Vaccine mandates' from the government are coercion and as such need the consent of citizens. What does that look like? The first requirement is 'informed consent'. What would that entail? At least a 1 year study, with a control group, to show a 'vaccine' does more good than harm. Adverse reactions/risks need to be posted on the website. And for public protection, vaccine' makers need to be liable for death/serious injury.

Adopt these measures and mandates melt away. If the requirements are not met, citizens are entitled to exemption. Religious exemption has nothing to do with organized religion. Instead, deep conviction. If you don't trust it, you are not required to take it.

More and more, elites are relying on law—rather than capture (citizen acceptance). Support for doctors deciding for the patient, not some health organization with a mission of self-perpetuation, is the best fix to prevent takeover by health conglomerates.

The elite plan for the pandemic was likely a protocol wherein people stay home and don't commute. As a result, we are on the verge of the biggest commercial real estate bust—ever. Elite idiots do not understand how close we are to the edge—and how little a shift it will take to push us over. It's coming without help, yet they continue to push.

Staying with the 'vaccines', purportedly, most required 'vaccines' for school-aged children, admittedly, do not stop transmission. So, keeping an unvaccinated child out of school is done for reasons of control—not health. Organized religion objections: 'vaccines' have billions of particles of human embryos in them. And it's not true that 'vaccine cultures', come from dead embryo parts. Cultures are obtained from living cells.

The government has no mandate for 'public health'—just as the Fed/Treasury has no mandate to install CBDC. As suggested in my last article, when 'Bill of Rights findings' prevent overreach, governments hand it to corporations/platforms to do their 'dirty work'. As a remedy, 'we the people' need to take control of intelligence agencies, and relegate social networks to what they are—utilities. And not protected, private property.

What happens in a credit collapse when the great virtue of equity is sharing misery? Next time. Get my articles by email with a request: erik@neverhadaboss.com. Thanks.