

## neverhadaboss.com updates on the insane world of money and power When Informed Consent Gets In The Way

Is there such a thing as Climate Change? How would any of us know when discussion on the subject is disallowed, and evidence presented is skewed to favor a narrative?

CO2 levels are on the rise since the onset of the Industrial Revolution, but does CO2 bring about about Climate Change or, is a rise in CO2 beneficial to earth's life forms?

And why do graphs measuring rise in CO2 begin and end with the Industrial Revolution, when there is hard global temperature evidence reaching far back into history? Back to when mankind had no impact in climate beyond the use of fire to keep warm.

Historical temperature evidence, when allowed, shows periods in human history that were considerably warmer, and colder, than now. Mankind could not have been the cause for that, so what was it? Was it solar flare activity—increasing and decreasing?

Because these considerations are easily found in junior high school temp papers on global temperature, we have to question the motive for cancelling climate discussion. We have to ask, as does the Latin query, 'cui bono'/who gains? And what do they gain?

Just now, on my way to an eye examine, Public Radio reported the City of Ashland is considering legislation to exact penalties on fossil fuel users, as opposed to electrical users, to combat climate change. There were not questions—simply narrative facts.

In other news, Oregon legislators are seeking to amend the Oregon Constitution to enshrine a right to a clean, safe, and healthy environment for citizens. "If we have it on the books, agencies will get more rigorous and will not be quite as quick to approve projects that have environmental consequences." Obviously directed at fossil fuels, production methods for energy from coal/wind/solar need to be part of the discussion.

Similar amendments are being used in other states to disallow fossil fuel projects "If we don't divert our global climate trajectory, a sustainable future will not be an option."

As with CO2, the question is not about fossil fuels impacting the environment—it's about cancellation of the 1st Amendment which guarantees our right to open debate, to uncover the facts about fossil fuels as compared with electricity. It's about free speech.

Given the cancellation of free speech, who benefits? As with mRNA covid 'vaccines', the profit motive benefits, but underlying that motive, what *policy* dictates the *mission*? 2 things, 1 a *policy*—the other a *process*. A concerted plan to reduce human population by more than half (for benefit of the survivors?). Accomplished by eliminating fossil fuels, livestock and poultry, and, absurd as it sounds—cancelling the practice of farming.

When Hillary Clinton tells us that *heat kills*, as a mantra for Climate Change (the left longs for the days when it was simply known as Global Warming), she is correct—but not when compared to cold. Humans, subjected to 100 degree heat, likely survive, but, if forced to spend the night outdoors, at 0 degrees—that functions as a death warrant.

Mass population reduction reduction is the order of the day. To which, curtailing fertilizers, gas powered-equipment, herds/flocks, farming in general—soon accomplish the goal. Along with a Malthusian de-population goal, comes a bi-product of the narrative—expectations of profit/control. Firmly entrenched—2 things that are difficult to get rid of.

We see it everywhere. The experts now expect us to genuflect to dictates—without opposition. While narrative propaganda (using tax dollars), instructs us. Even when the experts are clearly unaligned with scientific method, it's our task to *follow their science*.

There is no followup accountability. Unfathomable numbers died from adverse 'vaccine' reactions—jabs taken to save grandma from virus transmission. And, *the expert*s knew the 'vaccines' did not stop transmission. But there is to be no reckoning? Without an atonement, *elitism* translates to nothing less than control—support for a hegemony.

This morning I tried to explain to someone dear to me that the childhood 'vaccine' schedule was installed without safety trials or with safety trials so short as to not constitute safety. Most rely on previous trials that followed 'vaccine' recipients for no more than days/weeks. Many, if not most, were jabbed into children without placebo studies.

Forgetting there can be no dialogue with those who don't understand the terms, I searched Google for safety trials for each' vaccine'—and accompanying placebo trials.

Remember the old days when you'd ask a question and Google would reply: *this is 1 of 208,702 citations*. Well, those days are gone—'vaccine' safety trial info is not to be found. Instead, 20-some establishment citations inform us of safety trails—5-10 years.

In the confirmation hearings for Bobby Jr., today's joke: *Elizabeth Warren asks RFK Jr, how he will address an outbreak of smallpox from white men's blankets*—exemplifying that she, and most legislators, clearly don't understand the terms of the discussion.

No matter your take on Bobby Jr, his energy is directed towards healthy food/safe/effective 'vaccines'. Bobby see the principle behind 'vaccines' as sound, but because of bad governance (and a corrupt FDA/CDC/IHS), what was once American trust in our medical system, has, since mRNA tyranny mandates, produced a host of anti-vaxxers.

Though US politics has always been a messy business—we have reached new lows. Now, there is little concern for the terms of an argument with focus on rhetoric to degrade/cancel opposition. In this process we ought to greatly respect nominees such as Tulsi/Bobby, who putting their appointments at risk—call out deep-state lies/tactics.

The object for the deep state is to turn *informed consent* into *ignorant obedience*. Americans need to come back to the basics of the Constitution and Bill of Rights. This is a republic, not a democracy—for a reason. A republic retains minority citizen's rights.

The 1st Amendment guarantees a right for the kind of speech you don't want to hear. How far from that the Western world has strayed? And it's being done on purpose. Unexplored ideologies, with terms bastardized—are policy driven implements of control.

For now, we push the left off the stage. I mean, c'mon, they lost the last 3 presidential elections. Now, we question Trump. Is he keeping campaign promises? Next time.

I rewrote my novel: *The Ride.* A bit racy for some but one hell of a good read. It's posted on my site: neverhadaboss.com, or make a request and I will send it as a PDF. Get my articles by email with a request: erik@neverhadaboss.com. And thank you.