



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

The West Plans to Achieve Net-Zero by Killing-Off Its Populace

Do you know what net-zero is? It's that woman on the corner, without friends, who brags about producing less than a pound of garbage per year. How she is the only one in 4 square miles who is virtually without a carbon footprint. What is a carbon footprint?

Begin with a pound of ground beef. Everything that goes into feeding the animal, the fertilizer needed to produce the feed, everything involved with getting an animal fattened, slaughtered, and processed, to get a 1 pound package to the store and to your freezer. Anything that involves release of CO₂ into the atmosphere, including cow belches and farts, is its cumulative carbon footprint. And all diesel used along the way.

There's much more, but you get the picture. The 1st tenet of the Davos/WEF is to reduce world hunger—an outright deception. The 1st tenet of the WEF is actually to go after 'climate change'—the only thing that matters. In this process citizens are assigned responsibility and blame. Farmers and ranchers are the problem. To reduce nitrogen and CO₂ release, the UK is offering lump-sum payments to farmers and ranchers to quit and have their land (other than a homesite), transferred to government ownership.

How is the WEF doing with its 1st tenet to reduce world hunger? Given the war in Ukraine, resulting in a massive reduction in global food supply, what Davos policies are being proposed and brought forward to counteract the loss of needed food?

Canada has mandated by dictate, a 30% reduction in the use of fertilizers, not from a result of agriculture studies but because of environmentalists. And, because Canadians have no 'Bill of Rights', this is done by fiat—without input from the farmers and agriculture. Before this, Canada was looked to as part of a solution for global food shortages.

Russia and Belarus, the biggest producers and exporters of fertilizers, including potash, sell to Brazil, one of the biggest producers and exporters of food. With bans on Russian (Belarus) exports, what effect will that have on reducing world hunger?

If that isn't enough lunacy, Portland and Eugene, Oregon, are in the process of ridding their cities of natural gas. If this portends a sweeping change that finds its way across the 'blue states', given that much of our natural gas is already being tanker-ed to Europe, what will that do to American living standards? Will we compel all natural gas devices to be retro-fitted for electrical devices? Even the 'glassy-eyed' Germans get it, and are making a push to have natural gas reclassified as 'green' energy.

It's almost as if the leaders of the Western world see themselves as gods—but co-

matose gods. Earthly gods who have forsaken the premise that God looks to the welfare of all living things. Instead, these are lunatic—self-proclaimed gods, relying on a small cadre of deluded but important families to separate themselves from humanity.

WEF goals are in no way thought through because they are based on ideology rather than reason. Getting people off the farms and ranches is a 'divine task', without consideration for the ramifications. *Moving from owning to renting* is built around the urbanization of humanity. Get them out of, first, internal combustion vehicles, into electric vehicles—slowing 'climate change'. Once everyone is in cities—who needs a car?

Getting that pound of ground beef to your freezer is an involved process, but consider what is involved in a shift to electric cars. Biden is proposing 100's if not 1000's of charging stations—numbers that are meaningless compared to what will be needed. Some cities already have 100s of gas stations. It's a moot point anyway—the shift to electric vehicle changed today with Schwab's 'proclamation' to ban all private vehicles.

Consider further that our electrical grids cannot handle a 7% rise in the number of electric vehicles. Beyond that, the production required to produce devices and infrastructure for a shift from natural gas to 'non-sustainable' electric, would produce unimaginably more 'greenhouse gas' than does present level farming or ranching.

What is on the banned list and needs to be gotten rid of? Cobalt and lithium are way up there, but the production of electric vehicles and infrastructure will require immense amounts of 'enemy of the state' chemicals. And, when we see the 'earthly gods' private jets flying into Davos, we can't mistake them for Dr. King on that bridge in Selma with protestors who yearned for a life of freedom—these gods are architects for feudal hell.

These are not persons with a 'fact-based' or 'Judeo-Christian' upbringing, who understand history, and see that, ultimately, life is irrational. These are those with a drive to achieve perfection—what they see as perfection. And with that mission they don't need science: 'Science' for them is 'rules-based order' (their rules), as compared with scientific method that is subject to debate and comparable to international law.

Using devious means such as getting rid of farming, gasoline and diesel, they want control. Electric cars a just 1 step towards feudalism. Other tenets of their plan: move from owning to renting; repair old stuff instead of getting new. Does this bring to mind 1959 Cuba? Except this is worse—at least the Cubans had 59' Chevy Impalas.

Here in Oregon, I got 'the' official letter advising me of 2 things: That without any input from me, my woodland property, is 'deemed' to be in the EXTREME risk category, and is also in the wildlife urban interface (much like the Netherlands where most of the country is farms or adjacent to 'protected areas', rendering farming impossible).

What they are requiring is 'defensible space around dwellings' (which is already in place), but now they are about to require that we bring our dwellings up to present changes in building code requirements. But, unless I do something causing 'land use' to come into play, this is illegal. I followed the rules. I have a 'Certificate of Occupancy'.

The re-defining of lawful is what is at risk, and tyrants only get to go so far before the people rise up. Klaus ridding us of our vehicles; Oregon making my property uninsurable; or a Washington Post column: "To save democracy, Biden has to cancel the midterms." Many illegalities require they first rid us of our rights under the 2nd Amendment. American patriots know the 'reddest of red lines'—and that ain't gonna happen. My fiction can be read at neverhadaboss.com. Contrarians can subscribe to my articles: erik@neverhadaboss.com. I appreciate your email comments. Thanks.