

neverhadaboss.com updates on the insane world of money and power The Golden Mean

In 1947, Congress passed the National Security Act, marking the beginning of a transition of power from the Constitution to the intelligence services (CIA). Now, having lost adherence to the Constitution, the US has become a security state, with Congress, a uni-war party. Without the US as 'land of the free', the Western world—has gone mad.

A Canadian friend commented on my last article: 'The US is not a democracy.' True, but that statement needs considered on 2 levels. Given a choice, I prefer a democracy (1 person/1 vote), over a tyranny such as we have now because I have more faith in the people than in government by the few. But, democracies fail when the majority becomes mob-rule—a harbinger of tyranny. To avoid that, our founders created a republic (if we can keep it), with founding documents fashioned to prevent a tyrannical takeover.

The function of *checks and balances* in the US Constitution are not widely understood by other nations, or in the United States. What is less understood is that our founding document laid down a *golden mean* for governance, the best governance foundation for a nation. This is not to say that what was politically feasible in the 1770's, including slavery/women as 2nd class citizens, has anything to do with a golden mean, but the document, in the main, with provision to self-rectify, is a *golden mean*.

What is a *golden mean*? The term comes from Aristotle, indicating a way of life that is balanced between *excess* and *deficiency*. In terms of governance this is best represented by the US Constitution and the Bill of Rights. Other than aforementioned despicable placements in the Constitution (slavery/voting rights), necessary for ratification at the time, there is little within the document that, after 250 years, requires amendment.

Some Amendments to the Constitution are suspect (16/17?), but our *golden mean*, foundation of governance, remains the law of the land. The tyranny wants to both change and to scrap the Constitution/Bill of Rights. This necessitates citizens to understand those things in our founding documents that guarantee our liberty. To that end, we need to recognize various *attacks* on our Constitution and *remedies* to stop them.

Some see our Constitution, lacking relevance for today. as already swept into the dustbin of history. But the Constitution is the law of the land—whether legal scholars adhere to its text—or not. Secondary explanations are unnecessary—the language of the Constitution speaks for itself. No substitute language or commentaries are needed.

What is constitutional money? In just a few words: Congress shall have Power to

coin money and no state shall make any thing but gold and silver coin a tender in payment of debts. Money created by Congress is coin. And (as a mandated right/responsibility), money, as it pertains to the States is gold/silver—as means for debt payment.

Is a US CBDC legal? Not without changes to the Constitution because money is coin, and each state can opt out of CBDC, as only gold/silver are money for payment. Can Congress ban states from using gold/silver as money? 2 things: money, as it pertains to states is only gold/silver. States use of gold/silver is constitutionally mandated.

Constitutionally-given mandates to states/citizens are exempt from Congressional law. Other than those mandated powers given to Congress by the Constitution, neither Congress, Executive, Supreme Court can invoke the 'Supremacy Clause' over rights mandated to states/citizens. Mandated rights to *States* and the *citizens* are supreme.

There are no lower courts mandated in the Constitution. Lower federal courts are *creatures created by Congress*, with less power to act than the Supreme Court—which has mandated powers. Since Congress cannot pass a law giving the Supreme Court powers not mandated to it, congressionally-created courts have even less jurisdiction.

Because Congress cannot pass a law that conflicts with mandated rights of the people and the states, is it legal to enact a WHO treaty that strips states and citizens of constitutionally mandated rights? No, that is *illegal*. No American has to acquiesce to unconstitutional action and no state has to go along with illegal congressional laws.

Who decides if a law passed by Congress is legal? That was decided in Marbury v Madison. The Supreme Court is the final arbiter of constitutionality—but only in terms of the Constitution. That's why it was so egregious when the SC avoided a Texas challenge against various states over 2020 election irregularities. That brings up 2 things:

1st: the SC has original jurisdiction over state to state lawsuits, and when it involves a presidential election—avoiding such a lawsuit is cowardice. 2nd: a president (a person), challenging election results, is constitutional—under redress of grievances.

Hauling Trump into court for challenging the election is illegal. As an American, with *free speech*, he had a right, along with millions of Americans who suspected they were cheated, to challenge the validity of the election. And, given likelihood a case could be made for the challenge, the election is not decided until that challenge is heard by SC.

What about our Southern border? The Constitution mandates power over immigration to Congress. But what is going on down South has nothing to do with immigrations—instead it's illegal invasion. Obviously, the federal government is not fulfilling responsibility to stop the invasion, so, a state can use a National Guard for that purpose.

An invasion that Congress is not responding to is a state of war, releasing the National Guard to become state troops to stop invasion. Besides, the N Guard is only a workaround against mandated State Militias that could not be used to fight in foreign wars. If I know—then governors know. Why don't they act? They have also gone mad.

The only thing between us and anarchy, then complete tyranny, is our mandated rights as states and citizens. A golden mean, the balance between *excess* and *deficiency*, is clearly there to be seen. Concerning money, it's a gold standard. For governance, it's free speech, peaceful protest, and necessity for government transparency.

Each of these things is under attack, and taking them back is our only recourse. Retaking our rights as Americans will not be easy. Along that road we will be branded lawbreakers and traitors. The founders, anticipating this, gave us 1st/2nd Amendments.

Get my articles by email with a request: erik@neverhadaboss.com. And thank you.