



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

## *The Gestapo Returns To Take Down The Nuremberg Code*

I'm often reminded that it's unfair to make comparisons between now and 1930's Nazi Germany. But, is it an unfair comparison? Hitler and his 3rd Reich did not come to power all at once—they did it incrementally. They'd put a new mandate out there, to see if Germans acquiesced to it. If they did, it became law. When the Nazis achieved full control, having rounded-up guns/naysayers—only then did they show their hand.

It had been decades since I watched 3 hours of Spencer Tracy, "Judgment at Nuremberg" (1962), so these last 2 nights I got into it. For any who don't recall: Nazi trials were held at Nuremberg, the 'showcase' city for the 3rd Reich—where grand rallies for a German salvation, 3rd Reich, were 'staged' and seeds of war were planted.

We reference the Nuremberg Code as applying to involuntary medical experimentation on human beings—which it does. But there's more to it. The film is about trials of Nazi judges, who chose to remain at their jobs, passing judgement and sentencing, under Nazi Germany penal codes—so as to give abject horror an air of credibility.

There were death sentences for such acts as being 'politically out of step' Today, I heard a story from a friend whose family lived in Germany before and during the Nazi period. One morning at the butcher shop a woman gave the 'age-old' greeting for 'good morning'. The wife of a Nazi official who was present reminded her that, by law, the greeting was "Heil Hitler". The greeter responded that it was such a nice morning she preferred 'good morning'. That day she disappeared—after appearing before a judge?

The plot for "Judgement at Nuremberg" has a US circuit-court judge judging judges. Judges who gave the death penalty or the equivalent (concentration camp), to those who questioned the 'Nazi narrative'. In retrospect, were those judges guilty of crimes?

The defense for Nazi judges relied on the distinction that they 'carried out the laws of the land'—they did not 'make the laws'—therefore not guilty. When they sentenced the politically undesirable or racially inferior to 'hard labor'—they did not make those laws.

In the US, doctors lost their jobs/careers for not administering mRNA, but the situation in Germany was far worse. There, judges were forced to resign or adapt to the new protocol. A German judge resigning, might find himself brought-up before a judge.

For more than 2 centuries, the United States of America exemplified a bastion of freedom. But now, coming apart, the US is replete with powerful, tyrannical players, backed-up by myriad petty tyrants—those who wait decades to bellow-out commands.

A friend told me today that if I end up in a re-education camp for not keeping my head down, he will bring me reading material but he won't be sorry for me because I brought it upon myself. What about him? It's not as if he's outside of the fray. His unwillingness to do anything about it makes him a participant. George Washington probably had a guy down the block, constantly reminding him he was heading for trouble.

I'm no George Washington—I can't even convince loved ones that support for the 1st Amendment is a good thing. It is amazing how the elite campaign against the Bill of Rights has been so effective that the left denies citizens the right to speak out. Instead, half the left think that 'shouting down' a speaker questioning the elite narrative qualifies as 'free speech'. And half of those favor violence to silence 'narrative questioners'.

How many steps to tyranny, and how many has the US taken? An even bigger question is how many Americans will let tyranny happen as they stand aside? That brings us to the germane question: who are the guilty ones and what are they are guilty of?

In 1964, Buffy St. Marie had a song, *Universal Soldier*: "He's the universal soldier and he really is to blame." Who is to blame? Certainly tyrants and 'willful' petty bureaucrats. But what about those who don't resign—who do what's needed to not face reality? As in, doctors who knew it was wrong to give pregnant women experimental mRNA—but 'went along to go along' with their fellows, cashing-in bonuses for keeping quiet.

German judges handed down death sentences for gypsies and Jews. Isn't that just a few steps from leftist Americans who no longer support the 1st Amendment? Instead, they rely on an elite to curb free speech—lessening 'discomfort' for others. Don't they get it that relationships between human beings (especially when taken to the level of government), are messy—that they require discussion/debate to keep the peace?

Are those who 'close their eyes' to abet the stripping of 'inalienable rights' from fellow humans—guilty? Of course they are, but what are they guilty of? Stupidity for sure, but where is that line, where one crosses into a 'land of evil'—and purveyors of madness?

How many steps towards tyranny have we taken? That's not difficult to see: why aren't Anthony Fauci and Hunter Biden charged and in jail? Why isn't Joe Biden impeached and in jail? How is it that US policies hold together an economy that richly deserves to collapse, that rewards rather than indicts Pharma, that is the cause and misrepresentation of 'genocidal Ukraine slaughter', for which the US allows no peace talks.

What reflective Americans fear is that we've taken '1 step too many towards tyranny', with no apparent way back that doesn't involve the shedding of blood. That our establishment pundits have become like Joe Stalin, who, denying his intellect and education, adhered to an ideology so strongly that he became one with remorseless vengeance.

How can we convey to the left to not hate those who rely on the Constitution as a measure for 'peaceful change', and a model for human freedom and dignity. Unfortunately, this is a model that the 'left' no longer ascribe to—nor one they comprehend.

Inalienable rights, underpinned by the Nuremberg Code, have and are being stripped-away from us by a new Gestapo, as found in the Biden Administration, backed by law enforcement (FBI etc.), quelling free speech and 'slanting' any fair playing field.

Whatever happens, we need to step up for liberty. There's an old saying: 'the arc of the moral universe bends towards justice'. Sometimes, it bends slowly, such that there is no arc visible. But evil, which is nothing more than aggressing on someone's physical being or property, is 'meme of the day'—that thing we are required to face down.

Contrarians can get my articles, by email, by request: erik@neverhadaboss.com Thanx