



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

The Bill of Rights—Our Last Ditch Defense

Those in my town (a bastion of woke), who insist that I use preferred pronouns, don't realize that what they require, are crimes in the rest of the West. In Canada, under Trudeau, persecution for anti-woke was the norm (under Carney I'm not sure). Meanwhile the EU and Britain are putting people away for criticizing either EQITY or government. Recently, a 15 year old British boy was visited by 4 cops and hauled away for depicting a politician with rabbit ears and buck teeth—likely an accurate portrayal.

Here in the States, it's somewhat different. Each politician and enforcer takes an oath to uphold the Constitution as well as the Bill of Rights. Although they have little or no intention to do so—it's the *law of the land*.

Because of constitutional rights, both political parties (I don't see much difference), hold back from bringing EQUITY/woke charges. Still, they make plans to get rid of the 2nd Amendment, the 1st and 4th Amendments, just as they have done with constitutional money—gold and silver. Megalomaniacs from both sides of the aisle, plan to rid us of our funding documents—ASAP.

We are reminded of Jefferson's priority for the 2nd Amendment: removal of tyrannical government. And Americans should not make that case through inference, as is implicit in the Preamble to the Declaration of Independence. Rather, it is our mandated duty to throw off such government,

and to provide new guards for the future security of the republic.

Obfuscating global facts are US Government positions: Even with Ukraine being destroyed (bringing a possibility for US/Russia/Ukraine talks), Rubio wants to restrict the talks to territory; Zelensky wants to talk about maintaining Russia sanctions, and Russia wants to talk about the real issue: a comprehensive security agreement for Europe—outside NATO. And how a new Mecca Pact (as Lavrov contends), means nothing without Iran involved.

The West needs to realize that it needs Russia more than Russia needs the West. The EU, without inexpensive Russian energy is on the verge of bankruptcy, and can expect no assistance for Trump, whose mission is to bleed the EU of any wealth and have the EU/Britain foment war with Russia.

As for consequences for this process, US foreign Policy is totally unconcerned. Since the end of the Cold War, the US has killed more than half a million human beings (add a million more for sanctions). To install right-wing, Trump-allied governments in the Americas and across the globe, there is no amount of heathen blood the Trump Administration is unwilling to shed.

Netanyahu, on the brink of Israeli elections (with a rabid population—80% support genocide), is capable of anything (including nuclear strikes on Iran). He will use his 100% track record of Trump doing his bidding—as needed.

Netanyahu must have viewed Tom Cruise: *Minority Report*, often, as his foreign policy is structured around killing his neighbors before they can become a threat to Israeli tyranny.

Russia wants a comprehensive security arrangement for the whole of Europe, which runs contrary to the unspoken mission of NATO (to run rampant over all it can), while failing at the spoken mission of NATO: protect Europe from invasion—a task that failed in Ukraine, where NATO caused Russia to invade. NATO, best translates to: No Ability To Organize.

What's the actual situation the world is faced with? When the Soviet Union came apart, US concern was for what would happen to the Soviet nuclear arsenal. Now Russia, understanding that the US is running out war-making material and a viable dollar with which to wage war, is concerned about the US nuclear arsenal. Except for nuclear weapons, the US is no longer a threat—so what will happen to the nuclear arsenal?

Getting back to the Bill of Rights. Some say the 10th amendment is the most important amendment because it backstops the prior 9. But that's theoretical—something a rational government could use to make sure the other 9 amendments were being enforced.

We obviously don't have that. Both political parties (if able), would be willing to curtail all liberty in support of their greed for power and wealth. Since our right to privacy is trash and court backlogs (supporting plea bargains), are justice by default, what matters is the 1st Amendment (a sweeping statement of our rights as Americans), and the 2nd Amendment (a constitutional mechanism to ensure American liberty).

If Trump gets demolished next Tuesday, does that get us any closer to liberty? Unfortunately, it does not. What happens if the left wins big at midterms? Americans, across party lines, favor socialism. The left simply wants it more. So, imagine what might be voted into law?

Socialism, beyond a certain point, is communism. We've had socialism since Covid when government/corporations tag-teamed to enforce lockdowns/mRNA. Where they couldn't do it by force, they made use of coercion/shaming. Keeping the elites from crossing from coercion to enforcement, the Bill of Rights is our sole—'last ditch defense mechanism'.

It is in our interest to have some socialism. Anything to stop the likes of Theil/Karp from achieving monopoly is in the public interest and deserving

of social support. Capping interest rates on credit cards (socialism), needs to happen in the public interest. Historically, charging 18% interest was usury—involving criminal charges. Now, some that I know are paying 24%.

Everybody's rights matter. Russians need a comprehensive security agreement to protect them. Iran needs its right of sovereignty respected—along with its mission to protect its neighbors from US/Israeli genocide. Nothing positive is reported on US networks about China. Instead, China's productivity/exports are demeaned as a result of overproduction/subsidy. Though depicted as fraudulent, the Chinese miracle is as real as it gets.

Global South/BRICS are moving in parallel, not as a force to rival NATO or to become an empire, but to replace US unipolarity with multipolarity—wherein the sovereignty of each nation involved is respected.

The antithesis of this idea is supported by John Mearsheimer, who speaks to the inevitability of China taking over US Empire status. It ain't necessarily so John—Global South/BRICS, at this point, have a plan opposite of that.

In Brazil, Lula (a problem in his own right), beat Trump's boy, Bolsonaro, Brazil, as a huge, influential nation, offers a hope that Trump, having lost Brazil, will usher-in self-determinism for coming elections in the Americas.

Our liberty, Constitution/Bill of Rights are being undermined by traitors—too numerous to name. What are we willing to do about that? As they take away free speech (open demonstration/debate in the streets), and our right to make fun of idiots—wherever we find them, and critique a certain religion—antithetical to the Bill of Rights—know that our founders intended our liberties to be sacrosanct. And the power to enforce the Constitution, repel insurrection, defend this nation against invasion—belongs to the people.

Get my articles by email with a request, or make a comment:
erik@neverhadaboss.com. Please forward my articles to friends. Thanks.