



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

*So, So Much is Unconstitutional*

As time passes it becomes more difficult to deal with those who ingest a constant diet of network TV and NPR. Not long ago, when I asked questions while connecting a few dots, they simply looked at me askance, now, when I proffer facts/questions about those things for which they are the subjects of daily lies, they view me as utterly mad.

The left is screaming about recent Supreme Court decisions while the right is dumbfounded to see the court siding with the Constitution. Ironically, the 14 Amendment, intended to insure 'equal status' protection for American citizens is now seen as a culprit.

14th Amendment: *No state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States, nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.* 3 simple declarative thoughts.

'Red flag' laws are intended to take guns from citizens or put 'gun restrictions' in place, not in keeping with the intent and history of the 2nd Amendment. For example, a long list of persons can name someone as a threat and have their guns removed until that person can show that they do not constitute a threat. That is unconstitutional. For arms to be taken requires 'due process' conviction of serious crime, by a jury of peers.

The Constitution and Bill of Rights cannot be 'sorted out' to satisfy political groups. Here it gets brazen: SC disallowance of 'student debt forgiveness' is being responded to with 'feelings rather than facts'. The 'left' replies with cries of racism and white privilege (without recognizing that Caucasians and Asians are races). It goes unmentioned that benefiting one group over another is unconstitutional. Biden knew and said as much when he began a campaign of student loan forgiveness in a ploy for re-election.

The left now views the 14th Amendment in terms of convenience. When it was seen as needed to provide protection for rights of minorities, it was sanctified. But now, with identity groups demanding 'extra' equality—the 14th Amendment is 'old and in the way'.

The SC disallowance of 'affirmative action' is reacted to as racist, but under the 14th Amendment, anything beyond equal protection is unconstitutional. But that doesn't stop so-called 'progressive SC judges from deciding in favor of 'politics and not law'. The 'left' cannot resist lashing-out its feeling of inadequacy to mandate/prescribe 'equity'.

Each of these things shows where we are as a nation, but function as misdemeanors to felonies when we consider some happenings on the world stage. Tedros, head of the

WHO, waxes strong about how much 'meaningful work' the EU did with 'vaccine passports', which we cannot allow to go to waste. With the virus having left 'front page news', he persists with his need for global 'vax passports'? And some CBDC to boot?

What's the problem with that? You want to go into or leave a supermarket, simply use your phone for ingress or egress. It's that simple. Everybody has a phone and there is no easier way to keep order. Think about it: lightening fast transactions all over the world, where everything anyone owns (they don't really own it—it's tokenized under control of someone else), is transferable in seconds. That's what they mean by 'we won't own anything and we'll be happy'. We won't control a thing—including ourselves.

Money in your CBDC account brings needed changes for a world won't come all at once. First, everybody has to be gotten on board. Then: make the case that to eradicate inflation they need complete control. What's that look like? Sometimes your funds will come with an expiration date to better stimulate the economy—but only as needed.

Social score? Unnecessary. This ain't commie China. Instead, there will be restrictions on what you can buy, as measured against the 'good for all'. You had your rib steak—so try some tofu. Ammunition? No need—constant surveillance will protect you.

Don't bother yourself about these things—we are way past that. The federal government has a million of rounds of hollow point—so any government official can protect you, whether it be at the DMV or during your audit with the IRS. John Whitehead, head constitutionalist for the Rutherford Institute tells us: they've got all the firepower—nothing we can do against that might. I don't recall Washington counting up British muskets, or Patton seeing Bastogne as impregnable. Do we need any more weak voices?

The way Tedros set up the WHO treaty at the UN (illegal bullshit under the US Constitution), abrogates US and global national rights to the WHO. To not be part of it (you already are), you have to 'opt-out'. You didn't opt-in, but you have to opt-out. Making it a 'slick and easy' done deal, as Biden has already announced his support—opting-in.

In my last article I addressed 'profit' (greed), power, and those that opt for either or both. I left the 'master plan for total control' for today. Do I think there's a plan to reduce human population by more than 80%? I do. But 1st a plan calling for a 'vax card' feudal hell, with elections to be decided by mail-in ballots, with the ruling party counting votes.

To understand the big club (that you ain't in), we need a model, and what I'm using is Trump's, White House Task Force (Covid Response Team). Who was on it, it's relationship to the CDC, and CDC's relationship to Military Intelligence. Understanding the motivations/limitations of various players/entities—connects some unconnected dots.

Birx/Fauci ran the 'stage show', deftly shunting aside Trump, who, though bewildered, attempted to speak out and listened carefully to Dr. Scott Atlas—the only practicing scientist on the team—brought in (at Trump's behest), not only for his medical pedigree, but his historical overview of what makes medical operations work. Disinterested in facts and studies, the print media, reportedly at the behest of the 'stage show', drove Scott Atlas out, resulting in there being no space between Fauci/Birx and Trump.

This you may not know: The CDC though involved with the 'task force' was not allowed to hold its own press conferences. Fauci/Birx, with a history of working on AIDS together, were way into 'quarantine/lockdown. CDC—did they regulate? No. Why not?

Most CDC medical professionals had the data: masks didn't work; children not at risk; 'vaccines' did nothing to stop the spread. They sat on the data. Why? Next article.

You can get my articles by email, by request: erik@neverhadaboss.com. Thanks.