



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

Questions Unasked—Remain Unanswered

As the US Empire dies, putting billions of human beings at peril from starvation, some among us, formerly regarded as rational, lose their footing, opting to play the blame game, fixating on Islam and China as our problems.

Under this scenario, they unknowingly acquiesce with the real monsters, US Foreign Policy and everything Israeli. Not that Islam isn't a problem in itself, but it's not an explanation for the horror the West brings on Muslims.

Trump threatens to destroy Iran, an ancient civilization—epicenter of ancient civilizations. He presents it to the UN, as his reshaping of the world—a devastated, starving world, at the hands of Trump—a murderous lunatic.

As a consequence, CIA/Langley has something new to study and assess—a distinct possibility that 2 billion human beings will soon be starving.

In a precarious world, just under common awareness, we sense something is dreadfully wrong. Without fertilizer, farmers go under. And with \$8 diesel, everything costs more, until not available—and life comes to a stop.

Each of us is affected by this, some falling along the way, relying on conspiracy theories instead of facts, playing the blame game with ideologies.

What to do I do with an old friend who says everything should be questioned but doesn't question himself? What to do with my old friend who has

become a reactionary? What to do with an old friend who lays the blame for our problems on his two biggest problems in the world—Islam and China.

With Islam as the biggest problem, it's becomes easier to accept what Israel is doing in Gaza. With what Trump is doing to Iran. What Netanyahu is doing to Lebanon. What Hillary did to Libya. What the West did to Syria.

If Islam is the problem, what befalls Muslims don't matter. Any playing the blame game become highly susceptible to preposterous conspiracies. As in —*City of London* funds/controls the Iranian military. Granted, the City of London (bankers), haven't yet accepted the results of the American revolution, but to view Iran, after the US deposed Iran's elected leader (1953), then buried Iran under murderous US sanctions for 40-some years, as a City of London puppet, denies credulity, making suspect—one's sanity.

The facts are distinct from City of London BS. To exert power requires force, either financial/military or both. The entire British Army cannot fill the Super Bowl, and Britain's economy hovers around the verge of bankruptcy.

All Palestinians ever wanted is a sovereign state—free from attack. Same with Lebanon. Hamas and Hezbollah exist for one reason: as reaction to murderous Israel aggression. Iran would settle for being free from attack, to do business/conduct trade without endless, crippling US sanctions/bombing.

Reaching all the way back to the 1200s Crusades (immortalized in Hegseth tattoos), under the banner of Christianity, the West marched East to subdue the heathen. Now Trump is out to destroy ancient Iran. For what?

Trump is a warmonger with a purpose. He has to get rid of Iran so he can focus on ridding Russia and China. He doesn't deviate from that plan. To that end, Trump threatens to annihilate Iran (the oldest civilization) based on a ruse involving a most absurd contradiction—Iran is pursuing nuclear arms.

16 US intelligence agencies have made it clear that Iran, since 2002, has

no interest in developing nuclear weapons. Yet 73% of Republicans continue to support Trump's foreign policy of coercion/profit/domination/war.

My reactionary friend castigates the *left* (as do I), but leans right because he assumes the *right* is more in touch with basic values. But are they? In the last election, given my concerns about Trump, I advocated for voting the (*leftist*) bums out. Now, for the sake of the world, I pray that Trump get slaughtered at midterm. I won't be voting, but I pray for the end of Trump.

Even when I change the subject with my old friend, it comes back to bite me. I switched to it being a bad idea for Trump to go after Canada now, since what we need for diesel comes from Alberta. My friend falls back on an ideology where PM Carney is a WEF pawn. Though Carney is a central banker, not to be overly-trusted, he's being heroic, taking on Trump, risking the Canadian economy. What other world leader is willing to do that?

Unless we get our information from the stupid side of the internet, the world is a complicated place. Nothing and nobody is uncomplicated. To that end, we can't uncover reality by hanging onto simplistic ideologies. A solid overview involves hard work—where what gets questioned most—is you.

Trump wants Greenland/Canada to thwart Russia and China in the Arctic. Cutting to the chase, he sees US military bases in Ukraine and Greenland as firing platforms against Russia. Trump touts his new agreement with Denmark about Greenland—but he didn't get Greenland. The firing platforms he got could have been attained through simple negotiation with Denmark, without the blather, bluster, without his insipid childishness.

If, as an American, you feel safe from Trump, keep in mind that Trump is a consummate liar, focused on domination, without concern for average Americans. Think on Palantir surveillance/flock cameras—Trump supports both. Trump's lies involve a war plan (that includes genocide and slaughter), in

support the *deep state*—a deep state plan from which he hasn't deviated.

On Canada, my old friend aligns in favor of Alberta (where they keep the oil), voting to join the US in October. How much CIA propaganda is involved in that? He wonders if Canada will send in troops to stop that? Can provinces, experiencing a temporary insanity, seeing benefit from relocating under an insane Trump, secede from Canada? Will Trump cause Canada to break into 3 parts, with the middle part, as a Trump proxy, belligerent state?

Nothing the US does globally, is to be trusted. Questioning Americans are not safe. We are one step away from an official stamp from Marco Rubio, making American citizens eligible for deportation to a central Africa, cannibal-leaning nation. Am I being hyperbolic, misinterpreting Trump? Does the war with Iran end miraculously as he tells us—the day after the midterms?

My reactionary friendship maintains as long as we don't talk about anything—that same model for my townsfolk on the left, whose geo-political view comes from a landfill. What is there here for us to learn? Questions that don't get asked, don't get answered—that's the basis of our situation.

During his UN speech, Trump unleashed an extremely aggressive statement aimed at Tehran: 'Will a deal be made with Iran that lets them rebuild and create a far greater country than it ever was before, maybe one of the greatest in the Middle East, or even the world? Or do I annihilate the Islamic Republic, and do it quickly, never giving them a chance to kill and destroy people and countries again? Do I drive them into hell with no chance of survival and no hope of future greatness or generations?'

Iran has been a great nation for longer than Trump can imagine. Iran does not kill and destroy nations—quite the opposite. Drive them into hell? Careful Macbeth—it's you who is going to experience the horror of personal hell.

Get my articles by email, or comment: erik@neverhadaboss.com. Thanks.