

## neverhadaboss.com updates on the insane world of money and power 'Pledging 'Allegiance'—To What and to Whom?

We pledged to 'the flag' and to 'the republic'—the pledge defined Americans—not where we lived or where we were born. Now that flag furls around 'sanctions/regime change'. 'The republic'—to most Americans—what even is it? The masses pledge their allegiance to 'consensus' rather than 'discovery'—to a narrative rather than the facts.

The phenomena is everywhere: Fauci-isms is science. At the epicenter of 'going along' is joining with the 'consensus for climate change'. Of myriad lies: 'we don't need a gold standard—instead we have a 'fiat dollar'. We don't have to produce because we have a 'petrodollar'. We don't have to understand what's going on in Ukraine because Putin is a thug. Everything will get better when we have CBDC. But, the lie underlying 'climate change' is the grandaddy of mendacity—the most dangerous lie for humanity.

"I pledge allegiance to the gods of 'climate 'change' and to the ideology for which it stands". As with 'climate change', the biggest lies are perpetuated around things that cannot be measured—but around which horrific fear can be generated. The hype gets worse each year. Those who listen listen to NPR, read the NY Times, or listen to the 3 networks, they get their views on life from platforms that have no foothold in reality.

Here are 'climate change' facts—not from NPR. Our epoch is the Holocene that began 11,700 years ago, and is coming to a close. The last 12,000 years have been the coldest in geological history. 6000 years ago, Earth was much warmer than it is now.

How/why did they advance a 'global warming' notion (which had to renamed 'climate change')? 'Climate changers' have an agenda: kill-off free speech/thought, replacing each with a necessity to accept dictates and severely lowered/compromised lifestyles.

Their vessel for this voyage (much of so-called 'climate change' involves oceans), is a perfect one: something that is outside of human perception/measurement—outside of the imagination of most mortals. Take one part climate change (something no one can see), engender mass hysteria around it, and adopt polices that supposedly slow it.

How do they present it? Portray a partially true notion, rising CO2—as perpetrator for all that is evil—1st cousin to fossil fuels—and 2nd cousin to toxic plastic. Plastic—that's what we wrap food in to keep it fresh—right? Those dreaded fossil fuels—completely organic compounds that existed on and below the Earth long before mankind arrived.

What the elite do is draw a line at the 1st day of the 'industrial revolution' then measure temperature and CO2 from that moment forward, not withstanding that life has existed on the planet for 6 billion years. Reproduction, requiring CO2-5.4 million years.

Because they cannot justify 'climate change' as opposed to 'weather cycles/events', they need culprits—CO2 and fossil fuels. But the relationship between CO2 and warming is historically not consistent. And they fail to tell us that CO2 has been declining for over 1 billion years (small spike up during industrial revolution). Or, CO2 levels declined during this epoch, to only 50 parts per million above where plants/animals die.

Without CO2 there is no life. CO2 levels on land/in oceans were almost evenly distributed, but that changed when 6X CO2 was needed in the oceans to build 'armor' shells—sea creature protection. A phenomena that almost ended land-life on Earth.

MSN's lead story is not CO2, as a savior for mankind, or Net Zero would cause extinction of human life. Instead they measure a few centuries of 'inaccurate weather auditing' from 1850 (industrial revolution) against 6.4 billion years of life—and call it good.

But it has nothing to do with facts—it's a 'presentation', a story belonging in the 'fiction section'. They tell tales of horror associated with 'fossil fuels', but they don't tell us that because of fossil fuels, deaths caused by extreme weather have fallen by 98%.

They don't mention the 'left' taking-over GreenPeace' and adopting a 'consensus' model rather than an 'merit' model (the Nobel Prize developed on the idea of rewarding 'individual excellence', from which the consensus follows and creates policy structure.

Pharma also, demands 'allegiance to consensus'. Why did FDA/CDC (many/most are medical professionals), go along with a 'vaccine' madness some characterize as murder? Did they do it to maintain positions of power? Other than a paycheck and the 'cover of Newsweek', what motivated them to deny/avoid what was apparent to us?

In 1986, all 'vaccine' makers were granted immunity for harm caused by their products. This, at a time with 3 childhood 'vaccines'—2 were injectable. With 'immunity', required childhood injections soon became a dozen—each requiring 3 doses. Why so many 'vaccines'? Immunity reduced or eliminated need for safety trials/placebo studies.

And something else—equally dangerous. Most industries (cars/planes, etc.), separate agencies that 'recommend products' from agencies that 'regulate'—as in transportation safety boards. But it is not so with health regulators. Here, the same agency is both the product 'cheerleader', with a seemingly extinct function—overseer of safety.

Is the CDC 'hamstrung or evil', and who or what is pulling their levers? Did the CDC go along with a genocide (while sharing the wealth for some patents), and who did they go along with/report to? For that we have to remember this virus is a reported bio-weapon—supported by US tax dollars. In North Carolina/Wuhan—and across Ukraine?

Who is in charge of weapons? The DOD, in cahoots with corporations/government, Military Intelligence Bio-Defense. Wuhan is in China, so is it a International Military Intelligence Pharmaceutical Cartel? Is this the one area we can't sanction the Chinese?

They live and die in woe begotten allegiances—while we answer only to the Constitution. Whatever comes will be an adventure. I hear the traitorous elite have so many guns? But I have 2 hands/3 guns, and I come with a god-given obligation to 'quell insurrection/repel invasion/enforce US laws'. That makes me an American and them not.

Bill Holter sees lockdowns as a likely tactic to institutionalize 'vote from home', so we never see another election in which the ruling party is not in charge of counting votes.

And 'climate change is a strategy for which CBDC is a tactic—soon to be rolled-out. I have some thoughts on how CBDC, if 'accepted', will take all we possess. Next time.

To receive my articles by email, let me know: erik@neverhadaboss.com. Thanks.