



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power
Nobody Likes a Tyranny and China is One—However...

Time for a tough article to write, and tougher to read. Americans can barely handle talking about 'vaccines' and Fauci, but questioning US China policy—good luck with that.

Anyone denying that geo-politics runs the global show is out of touch with reality. Think of it as intervening in a 'down and out' fight between a man and a woman—where they both turn on you. That is primitive, tribal nationalism: it's their business and it doesn't concern you. "So, keep your damned do-gooder hands out of our lives".

Russia took that same action when Kamila Harris was sent to the Munich Security Conference, 2 months before the invasion of Ukraine, with a scripted message that Ukraine was free to apply for NATO membership, whenever it wanted. That, along with Zelensky's announced plan to acquire nuclear weapons, sealed the fate of Ukraine.

Now, sending an 82 year old, alcoholic insider-trader, who knows nothing about geo-politics (but is primed to become president if something should happen to the president and the VP), to Taiwan, after China warned the visit was 'playing with fire', the US risked nuclear war and moved the re-unification of Taipei and China forward 26 years.

One seat at the UN was allotted for China—and after WW2 the UN gave that seat to Taiwanese nationalists until it became apparent the seat belonged to mainland China.

Most of the world sees China/Taiwan re-unification as an internal affair. Consequently, US misinformation about the status of Taiwan increases global distrust for the US.

Many Americans are unable to locate China on a world map, so to expect them to know the history of Taiwan (or Hong Kong), asks too much. Suffice it to say that the US has for many decades accepted the One-China policy, the same policy accepted by the UN and Taipei (China's name for Taiwan). And know the Chinese Constitution provides a promise of war against anyone who would attempt to take Taipei away from China.

Except for a blip in history since 1945, Taiwan has always been part of China. And the Chinese have been in no hurry to repatriate the island. Xi Jinping set-out a goal of peaceful reunification for China with Taipei, of 2049. So, why is Taiwan an issue?

In 1898, under British duress, China was coerced to give GB a 99 year lease over Hong Kong, which ended in 1997, when Hong Kong reverted back to the People's Republic of China. Under the British, Hong Kong, with a degree self-autonomy, developed into a major banking center for Asia. China recognized the benefit for themselves and continued that autonomy (for commerce), keeping an arm's distance from Hong Kong.

So what happened? What always happens when you get 'into the dance' with the United States. Although liberty in the US is under constant siege, freedom for Hong Kong became a paramount issue under the 'banner of democracy'. Keep in mind this is Hong Kong, an integral part of China—not some colony annexed by the 'commies'.

Instead of recognizing reality, US Senators (Ted Cruz and others), protested in the streets of Hong Kong, supporting a human rights uprising allegedly funded by Soros and friends (does Soros=human rights?). An uprising, closely resembling mayhem in the streets of Minneapolis/Portland—with viciousness subsidized by Western interests.

Americans have a hard time accepting Hong Kong riots as a Western operation—not 'homespun'. They have a similar problem accepting Russia being forced to secure its borders in Ukraine, or China, forced to adopt a 'national security law' for Hong Kong.

But those are facts. Would China, over time, have exerted control over Hong Kong, if it meant losing a major banking center? The difference between Chinese and Russians is that Chinese are businessmen. Would they risk losing their global banking portal?

Chinese domestic/foreign policy is never distant from feeding and housing 1.5 billion people—which sets constraints. Each decade since WW2, China took a knee to the US, biding time, to develop economic and military power. The US assisted in China's mission by abandoning US productively—then embracing 'no-win' identity politic policy.

Within that process, the US misjudged the reasons why China built the Belt/Road—the 1st of which is US nuclear submarines. China, if blockaded by the US, does not have the technology to deal with the US sub fleet, or even the means to locate them.

China needed options and time to prepare before confronting the US (as did Russia). A defendable land-route to Europe, across China and Russia, was their best option. As that route was laid-down, China was barraged with accusations of exploiting involved nations—but never to a level of exploitation for which the US is accused.

US propaganda went after Xinjiang Autonomous Region, a core component of Belt-Road. There, China was under ongoing attacks by Islamic terrorists—downplayed by US propaganda that accused China of concentration-camp, Uyghur extermination. Research of US accusations finds flimsy evidence from untrustworthy testimonials. A UN vote to condemn China had twice as many nations supporting China as voting against.

I know this is a tough because the 'People's Republic' is no republic at all. But, it is also true that the West, US included, may soon equal China's draconian-ism, without a benefit that the PRC is looking out for sustained food and shelter for its citizens.

Wherever the US ventures, "we track our mud with us" (Mark Johnson). The UN, Taipei, and the US, officially recognize Taiwan as part of China. The US, supporting Taiwanese independence is another example of 'rules-based order' ignoring international law. Another example of US re-defining reality in support of despotic ends.

This is a time for 'strange bedfellows'. Though not religious, I take solace in 'red state' Christians, and homeschoolers. Those who question 'vaccine mandates' are automatically in my camp. When Schwab speaks of 'global cooperation/responsibility—it's self-serving lies. It is sorely needed that we understand it is nationalists, China/Russia, 'under relentless diatribe', may be allies in our struggle to keep from WEF feudal hell.

Trump got 1 thing right: He was President of the United States—not the world. In his concern for America and Americans. Trump was closer to Xi and Putin than to Biden.

I really am heading out over the backroads soon. Northeast, then south. Anybody along the road who wants to get a coffee, or subscribe: erik@neverhadaboss.com.