

neverhadaboss.com updates on the insane world of money and power 'Mandates' and 'Mandatory—Share the Same Root

Mandates are products of executive authority, fueled by power usurped by government in support of unproven ideologies—by ideologues. Consider mRNA. Are mRNA 'vaccines' 'safe and effective'? If, for the past 2 years that question had asked of the man on the street—he would have responded that they are both. And how would he know that? He would know because he had been deluged with propaganda by government on a mission to mandate mRNA as 'safe and effective'. Mandated to what end?

Over this period, whenever skepticism about mRNA 'safety' was raised, it was 'shot down' in no uncertain terms because the established narrative reported 'averse reactions' as few and expected. Now, with consequences for mRNA proving to be between 'severe and genocidal', what can be the purpose for 'safe/effective' mRNA mandates?

With Twitter's release of the Fauci emails, an obvious answer is 'greed'—which begs the question. Throughout the industrial/tech age, greed has been a motivating factor, which was dealt with by government 'mechanisms' curtailing cartels and monopolies.

So, what changed such that government 'mandates' support what would have been prosecuted earlier in our history? What ideology became more important than a concern for the wellbeing of citizens? For that we have to consider the cause behind government 'gain of function' research funding, and a follow-up 'mandate' that 'everyone' take 'untested, undisclosed, emergency-use vaccines', for a not so lethal virus, while granting authors/funders of gain/function research, control over US health policy.

We may see charges relating to greed brought against high officials in the US government after the new year, which will amount to prosecution of 'small time grifters' when compared to those who are the actual motivators for deeper mandates.

Bringing Russia into the discussion, there can be no peace negotiations in Ukraine because the US does not want peace negotiations. Along with Britain, the US is doing everything possible to assure that the war continues—a clue for a deeper mandate?

Were I a betting man, I'd go with the Brits blowing up NS1 and 2, maybe the bridge in Crimea, and other heinous acts perpetrated on citizens of the Donbas. All, of course, sanctioned by the US. Given that, where do we look for 'deeper' underlying mandates?

What about Russia (and China) requires more 'fundamental mandates'? How about that description of Russia as 'a gas station masquerading as a nation'. Logic follows that a 'deeper mandate' for perpetuating war with Russia must have to do with 'fossil

fuels'. Russia, with massive CO2 potential, and not following orders—must be stopped.

But that logic flies in the face of another tactic in an absurd strategy of the West: China as a polluter releases 3 times the CO2 as does the US, yet we are shutting down our industries (along with Europe), effectively transferring them to China (and other Asian nations), with an inevitability of actually raising global CO2 emissions.

A seeming paradox for a 'ideological mandate' built around curtailing fossil-fuel pollution, given our actions against Russia, as compared with transferring our productivity to China, denies the possibility of resolvable paradox—leaving us in a contradiction.

Stated simply: a 'mandate' for climate change/depopulation underlies 'vaccine mandates' and possibly 'greed mandates', but, were the elite sincere about 'climate change, they would not fly to Davos in private jets and would head-off ecological consequences of sending manufacturing to Asia, where there are less restrictive emission standards.

Assuming that Klaus Schwab—'and all the little schwabs' are pulling the levers, it follows that they must be responsible for the 'ultimate mandate' that underlies all the other mandates—a mandate that is a logical consequence never allowed to be said aloud.

The clue is in the word 'mandate'. Mandates are 'mandatory'—dictums given without choice—usurping 'citizen's rights'—a usurpation that for Americans is unconstitutional. The Constitution and Bill of Rights were written in the negative—replete with 'thou shalt nots', as the documents relate to powers withheld from state and federal governments.

So, what is the underlying ideology for the US and its lackeys? It has to do with greed but greed is subservient to power. It is not 'climate change' because much of what the elite do, they would not do, if climate change was the 'prime mover mandate'.

It's not primarily population, though overpopulation cramps elite style. Cut that population down to half a billion and you end up with a workforce along with a planet of blue skies and green fields—one that can tolerate endless miles of elite yachting and jetting.

Beyond 'mandates' mentioned here, is another mandate upon which each of them rests—a 'mandate' for control. US government control is the antithesis of constitutional guarantees, culminating in a foreign policy of 'divide and conquer', that is now being used against American citizens through shamefaced government interference and control over platforms (excepting Twitter), that Americans rely for communication.

And why is Biden considering 'patriot missiles for Ukraine—if he does not do that, the West has no means of extending the conflict. The Ukrainians need to be able to 'patriot' Russia into WW3. Anything less than victory over Russia is unacceptable.

As Martin Armstrong said today, if he were on the other side, China would take Taiwan, Iran would raise hell in the Middle East, and North Korea would march south. Causing what brought an end to Napoleon—war on too many fronts would destroy the EU, Britain, US/NATO. But, as is our way—utter destruction may not deter our fate.

Mandates are applied by force and force knows no logic—that's why petty bureaucrats were allowed to run all over the pandemic. In the Constitution, there is no justification for any of the horrors that Americans have been subjected to. Why, as citizens, are we allowing this to happen to us? Mired in stupid subservience, are we lost?

As Americans, we 'mandate' the government, not other way around. Citizens are tasked to 'enforce US laws, quell insurrection, repel invasion'. Government serves at our pleasure. The police 'protect and serve' our interests. To ensure this happens, we are 'mandated' by the 2nd Amendment—which soon will be tasked to protect the 1st.

Get my articles emailed to you by request: erik@neverhadaboss.com. Thank you.