



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

*Long Covid—'My Ass'*

Let's talk about Australia, Western Australia in particular. A remnant of the British Commonwealth, Australia reacted to the virus by shutting-down the island to stop viral spread—a discovery of 1 case led to million person lockdowns. Lockdowns that were enforced with extreme brutality—Chinese enforcers were no match for Aussie police.

The same was happening in New Zealand where Ms. Ardern, misapplying her island's namesake, inflicted 'cruel and unusual' enforcement dictates with new'Zeal'. AUS PM Morrison, after abject failure, was voted out. But, Ms. Ardern, after a tearful goodbye, done 'misinforming' NZ—moved-up to a global role—curbing 'malformation'.

There were few Covid cases in Western Australia. In an area reporting only 50 cases, it became difficult to explain 1500 unaccounted-for hospitalizations. For that they relied on something termed 'long Covid'—persisting through time. Post administration of the 'vaccines' it was reported as fact that overcrowded hospitals had to do with 'long Covid'. But with so few Covid cases, the math could not bear out such a hypothesis.

Australian citizens were dying (as 'excess death' statistics), at rates not seen in 80 years. Excess deaths 'spiked and stayed spiked', beginning the 1st month that the 'vaccines' were rolled-out forcefully, unto 'mandated and coerced' Australians. Avoiding the obvious, overcrowded hospitals and deaths were assumed to be 'long Covid'.

The facts in no way supported the theory, and there was a far more credible explanation. 'Adverse reactions' to the 'vaccines' exploded, beginning the same month they were introduced. Over a 19 month period, post introduction of the 'vaccines', the virus ran wild across the continent. Australia had 40% more Covid cases, per capita, as compared to the United States. A vast number of Aussies were infected. Australia, NZ, and China each tried to suppress transmission—with infection rates among the worst.

Which brings us to the efficacy of lockdowns and what happened in Sweden—looked upon as a 'renegade state' for its policy towards the pandemic. Australia had one of the most restrictive national Covid policies, while Sweden had one of the least. Sweden trusted its citizens to make the right choices. For that they were accused of being a global pariah, and made subject to attack in the manner of the 'unvaccinated'.

Swedish schools did not close down and there were no face masks. As a result, Swedish children test at grade level while the rest of the world is more than 1 year behind. Sweden's excess death rates pale in comparison to others. Without mandates,

many more workers, government employees, as well as parents/children opted out of taking the jobs. In the end, Sweden was not experiment—the rest of the world was.

That brings us to the lockdowns: the biggest problem associated with lockdowns is that they were inflicted on populations without regard to their consequences and without any mechanism for how they would end—and when. Admissions on the part of the elite now make it clear that lockdowns were a compliance tool—not rooted in science.

Lockdown consequences were horrendous. Britain's GDP fell about 10%—Sweden's fell closer to 2%. Bringing a conclusion that lockdowns are more injurious than what they intend to contain. They do little good, great harm, and they are devoid of a mechanism for how to transition out-of—before dictated into existence. Unfortunately, plans for a global health treaty, with WHO dictating, suggests we will see lockdowns again.

Hopefully the Pharma elite 'shot their wad' on this failure. But don't be too sure. We can't who is pulling powerful levers, without understanding the actual elite mission.

Are the elite mostly stupid or mostly evil? Could Biden be stupid enough to yet disallow 'unvaccinated' visitors entry, while getting ready for another booster program? All those doctors 'cancelled' for critiquing what became elite misinformation—is that evil?

Maybe there's better way to look at it. The removal of the gold standard, which was the cause for a 'credit explosion' that made 'big government' and the 'welfare state' possible, caused a condition best-described as 'institutionalization'. More and more Americans (along with all Westerners), became dependent on government for everything. To a point where researchers think that without NIH grants—there is no science.

These, and most everyone else, have become 'institutionalized'. That's why three-quarters line up for 'experimental vaccines' developed by agencies that have earned the appellation of criminal conspiracy to be attached to their agencies and their names.

And that is why, a war against 'free speech' and media freedom is under threat. The vast percentage of Westerners have been institutionalized to the degree that in terms of being able to mount a political critique, they have become 'useful idiots' for the elite.

Our best hope, besides 'standing our ground', is for the obvious to become apparent, and for 'excess deaths' to tell the story. It's a terrible thing when the salvation for our republic requires that millions of innocent people die to make a point. Another hope is that 'cancelled' physicians such as Geert Vanden Bossche who was sidelined for: *You do not 'vaccinate' in the middle of a pandemic*, will, with lawsuits, bring elites to justice.

Will Westerners be able to make analogies. As it becomes accepted that lockdowns cause harm—then fail, will it become apparent that CBDC is just another government lockdown history will record as a boondoggle? Will more newspapers/networks such as Britain's Telegraph, turn on the 'gods of mRNA' to denounce the fallacy of lockdowns?

These things could happen as more facts come to light. Will lockdowns be seen as counterfactual, as something that destroys an economy, which now can only come back slowly, if at all? If Westerners cannot connect a few dots, what might we see?

In all tyrannies, (as seen with ex-President Trump in January 6th proceedings and the NY indictment), there is a mission to go after opposing leadership, medical experts, etcetera, not on the basis of law—but for political reasons. This is the way, at the 'end of empire', when the 'rule of law and 'due process' are attacked with utter disdain.

Nazi Germany in the 1930's saw incremental 'rule of law, erosion, replaced with dictates that were strictly political. With that in mind, I'll report on Trump's indictment next.

Contrarians can get my articles by email, by request: erik@neverhadaboss.com