



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

*Let's Get Real*

Is Putin a thug? He is not. Is Lavrov the foreign minister of a thug? He is not. If there is one statesman in the world today, it's Sergei Lavrov. Is the United States a racist nation? Less than in the 1950s/60s/70s. So why the sell job on pervasive white racism?

It's being done to divide us. Who wants to/why do they want to divide us? You know the names: Soros, Gates, Biden, etcetera. The Americans among them are those who have lost all connection to the origins of this nation and legacy of our founders—liberty.

I saw it this last week during talks with a friend, now in his late 40's, who grew up around our home. He took the vaccines/boosters, considers Putin a thug, apparently hasn't heard that *Antifa* presents a problem, and is a supporter of *Black Lives Matter*.

Those that promoted/produced mRNA 'vaccines' are, from my vantage, criminals. Those who partook of the 'vaccines' will be fortunate to escape with their lives. And, BLM/Antifa, forcing whites to take a knee/brutalized, need smacked up side the head.

Let's get real—the West/East Coast/Illinois, etcetera will soon become hellholes, unseen in US history. To be safe in our own homes will require a 6 foot fence and a 1 inch stranded cable lock on the gate—an AR-15 by the bed and be willing to defend family.

In the states of pampered criminality, things will only get worse. At some point the economy collapses. When will that be? My guess, because it is being propped-up until November—not long after that. What will that look like. Along the coasts, underfunded police will be overwhelmed by homeless/gangs. Citizens will be their own defenders. Armed guards will be a prosperous market—forget college—spend time at the range.

Fundamentally, even worse. Any hope for return to the rule of constitutional law rests on 2 things: there is an election—with enough *integrity* to unselect *the left*. But what then do we get with Trump? Both sides of the aisle are *unipolar*—inherently uncompromising. Biden is *an America last guy*, handing-over our nation to *world government unipolarity*. Trump's an America 1st guy. A 'God bless the US—and no one else' guy.

How will the next president and the US be viewed through a BRICS lens, which is a reaction to *hegemonic unipolarity*—a banding together in support of global *multipolarity*.

Is it important that we support Trump over Biden? Without doubt—at least Trump lines-up in support of US independence. 4 more years of Biden completes the destruction of the republic/founder's legacy. Much of what we now face is a result of actions taken by the left, and, to be fair, exacerbated under Trump. Advisors such as Pompeo/

Bolton, disdaining reason, did their level best to push *regime change* agenda for Russia/China, while ridding us of nuclear arms treaties—our last line defense for peace.

Trump will get my vote in November, but I view Trump through a jaundiced eye. Like Elvis, he surrounds himself with yes-men. Big D is Big E. For example, shortly before his term expired in 2020, Judge Andrew Napolitano convinced Donald Trump to pardon Edward Snowden/Julian Assange, which Trump apparently agreed to do. Over the next week the judge relates that Trump's Secretary of State, Pompeo, talked him out of it.

Trump isn't the right guy to put America back on the right track. Productivity is what could save America—not sanctions. BRICs is simply multicultural response to regime/change sanctions. The world has had enough of the US—and they are letting us know.

But Trump' sanctions figure large in what the world needs. What does the world need? Unipolar states such as Britain, Israel, US, to be greatly diminished in power. The problem being that the world is far better off without unipolar hegemony, and the future (at least from what I see), tends towards hard work, free trade and productivity.

Most Westerners don't realize how close we are to feudal hell. Klaus Schwab (WEF), accused of multiple sexual harassments on female employees, shared his message (the stuff of 1950's horror films), at his *Annual Meeting of New Champions*, in China.

Schwab's demand: *humanity needs to be forced into a collaboration with global elites*. "At this critical juncture the active participation of all *stakeholders* is essential to ensure a sustainable development path." Elites are the *stakeholders*. What about us?

As George Carlin used to remind: *It's a big club and you ain't stakeholders*. You know why you're not stakeholders? There's 8 billion of you and just a few of them. Not being stakeholders, you are those to be addressed under *limits of growth*—a euphemism for *forced depopulation*—with brain implants so elites can read the thoughts.

Let's remind ourselves: evil men in positions of power are devoid of ethics. And when times get tough, from *self-interest*, cannibalize their own for personal survival.

That's why a 1000 year Reich lasts less than a decade. That's why any/all fears of grand design where evil geniuses are always 2 steps ahead of us are never the case. An omniscient plan isn't to replace Biden barely 4 months before an election date, with a likely option for his replacement, being VP Kamila Harris—a recognized moron.

*All-knowing lever pullers* would not reduce Ukraine to chaos, with no discernible role for NATO or peace. Genius is not behind a plan for EU voters to unselect 'leftist' elites.

Elites are in consternation. There was never a plan for Ukraine to become part of NATO. Rather, Ukraine, a nest of vipers, was used by the US, not for the benefit of Ukraine, but for US purposes. A seemingly open door for Ukraine's NATO membership was merely an insurance policy, a back door for the US to break-up/subjugate Russia.

There may be hidden benefits in the demise of unipolarity. The elite, scrambling for control, caring about no-one but themselves, in the end, will eat each other to survive.

Schwab pontificates demands from China—but is China on board with 1 world government? No, China supports anything that keeps safe 7 tyrants to make policy for 1.4 billion Chinese. If the US *left* is socialist/commie, how do we define China? Not communist because China does not control property rights/means of production. China is *command capitalism* while the US acts in simpler terms—a command/control economy.

It's about tyrants/tyranny. When the West goes down, hopefully the elite goes with it.

I have many new subscribers. I can't respond to each of you, but thank you so much.

Get my articles by email with a request: [erik@neverhadaboss.com](mailto:erik@neverhadaboss.com). And thank you.