



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

Immunity Without Limits

Answering my question, Yasutani Roshi spoke of ignorance: ‘one cannot enter the dreaming mind of another’. Donald Trump’s mind is a bad dream, yet some continue to support him, making excuses for his restarting the war with Iran, when he had no intention to keep to the MoU that he signed onto.

When asked, how, after months of a war that failed, he now expects the same tactics to bring victory, he puts out that 47 days of war is preferable to a terrorist nation with nukes. That’s the case, but Israel is the terrorist nation with nuclear weapons and, were Trump obliged to answer questions honestly, in an open forum, he could be easily exposed by 7th grade debaters.

Trump is totally ignorant concerning Iran. Although he’s likely been informed that Iran was not developing a nuclear weapon, he could care less. What Trump requires is control of the oil—and the Strait of Hormuz.

As a result, Trump has set in motion, one of the greatest catastrophes in human history. With the Strait of Hormuz and the Red Sea being shut down by Iran and the Houthis, and Trump having reestablished his naval blockade, the global economy, without needed energy, will likely collapse.

Without oil and fertilizer, comes the inevitability of famine and starvation. That’s what we are looking at. And, human beings with families do not starve willingly, in complaint silence—instead they do what’s necessary to

feed their families. Dwindling food and resources brings on crime. And maybe worse—huge waves of unstoppable migration.

Trump, after betting everything on a premise he could extend *Empire Days* by controlling energy and service routes, has put human civilization at risk. Worse—at great peril.

If Trump can't get Iran to succumb by doing what he did for months, he will next, take-out infrastructure (he began today), that makes life possible in Iran. In response, Iran to go tit for tat, destroying de-salinization plants, making life impossible for Gulf monarchies. Begging a question: will Iran hit Saudi oil fields after Saudi, inexplicably, hit Yemen? Did Saudi hit Yemen as part of a deal with Trump—in an effort for a way out for Trump?

This is about more than energy—this is about the entire global GDP. Russia, the great energy source, gets only 20% of its revenue from energy, the other 80% comes from investment. The US, another energy giant, has far more at risk than profits from energy.

For Trump to be able to save face, he has to exert some control over Hormuz. Unfortunately for him, that may be impossible. To show control, he has to take control, while all Iran has to do is hold on. It's about the next 3 weeks: Week 1—the US redoes what it did for 2 1/2 months. Week 2—if Iran does not crumble, Trump goes after Iran's infrastructure (that began today). Week 3—US runs out of ammunition. Is there resolution in this?

Trump, initiating a 20% toll (payable to him), has given credibility to a notion that restricted waterway service routes can charge fees. Therein might be a solution? Trump could share with Oman, a portion of revenue—at the same time taking credit for opening Hormuz Strait.

Trump knows he made a bad choice—that puts him in a bad place. But psychologically, Trump, with a child's mind, is incapable of admitting he was

wrong and backing away. Were he to do that, his presidency/legacy would likely be over. Keeping his eyes, wide shut, he might be over—anyway.

Trump fears the consequences of his actions. Unless the future defies all logic and Iran gives up, the MoU that he signed (because he had to), will bring an even greater surrender for the US, next time. And there's precedent for this: think on our disastrous departure from Saigon and Afghanistan.

Marco Rubio is directing the DOJ (as Trump's advocate), to do everything it can to dismantle the ICC (World Court). The US played a role in creating the ICC, but with the indictment of Netanyahu, Trump sanctioned ICC judges while denigrating/denying international law. He sees no need for international courts—his own values are the replacement for international law.

But Trump lives in fear, both of the American voter and international law. When Trump/Netanyahu seek rationales for their slaughters and genocide, they cloak it within a framework of international law. They use accepted international law standards to justify their murderous behavior.

Why do they want to get rid of the ICC? Granted, it's cumbersome and mainly prosecutes Africans, but what Trump wants to replace it with is *nothing*. Had he his druthers he'd probably also get rid of the UN because, with the US having 1 of 5 vetoes—each time the US uses its veto, the world sees the US—as irrational and isolated.

Tyrannies use the framework of international law to justify their actions because international law has wide acceptance and because it works. That's why tyrannies (US/Israel), fear and feel the need to abolish international law. They are the exceptional nations, *immune* from international law—justified in their every action—because *might is right*.

There is no immunity in the US Constitution. Each American is equally subject under the law. Police and federal agents are not granted *limited*

immunity, as there are no mandated federal police or agents with power over the people. The ruling class needs not only *limited immunity*, but complete immunity—making them *immune* from the law of the land.

With total immunity (so they assume), the *ruling class* go about their murderous business. Trump has no need, as did Colin Powell/W. Bush Administration, to make the case to Congress/UN for wars they perpetuated in the Near East. It was all lies back then—but they still had to make the case.

There's no longer a need for that. Trump stops and starts wars, in 30/60 day intervals, so as to appease Congress (under the law), wherever he sees fit. There is no constitutional justification for his actions, nor is there constitutional justification for the so-called—war powers act.

Sadly, there is no self-awareness of how blatantly stupid Trump/advisors are. He is seemingly immune to consequences for his actions—even if it results in the starvation of millions of human beings. If that proves to be the case, it be viewed as when Madeleine Albright was asked if what we did in Iraq was worth 100s of 1000s of dead women and children. Seeing the US as the *indispensable nation*, she rationalized, that in terms of our quest to advance the flame of democracy, in the end—it was worth it.

Our nation is at cross-purposes with itself. The talk of distinct wings of Trump's administration—I don't buy it. Both Vance/Rubio have designs on the Oval Office, but it's Trump calling the shots—his teflon immunity telling him there's nothing to fret over—that his unfettered hubris is without limits.

Get my articles by email with a request: erik@neverhadaboss.com. Or, make a comment—maybe give me a shout out. Please pass my articles along to any who might benefit from them. So many comments lately, I'm way behind answering them. Sorry. Thanks for your support.