



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

*Globalism and Nationalism—As Measured by Sovereignty*

Some 'nationalisms' are as horrific as what WEF 'globalists' are planning for us. Consider Nazi Germany, the Soviet Union, or, at a closer look—the Biden Administration.

Both nationalism and globalism need to be measured by a 3rd thing: sovereignty. The EU, ruled by unelected bureaucrats, is a despotism that conveys no sovereignty. Instead, over time, member states have had sovereignty stripped away. EU nations are now viewed by EU powerbrokers as vassals, dependent/incapable of decision-making.

'Globalist hegemony' seeks to put nations under elite control once citizens are convinced they cannot make it on their own. How did we get here? How did Carl Sandburg's 'city of big shoulders', Chicago, morph into a 'city of pathos'? How did our United States, our republic, over a short time, become 'excrement cities/anarchies of hatred'?

To view that transition requires that we understand the relationship of money to power. Families such as the Rockefeller/Rothschilds accumulated wealth as businessmen, and from there made a natural transition into banking. Along with the role of banker comes the role of controller, deciding who and which projects, are those to be funded.

Wealthy elites, forsaking education in 'humanities' for wealth (as bankers controlling wealth), view a working class having an 'equal vote'—with derision/mockery. Having transitioned from wealth to control, they are accustomed to being 'the entitled'. In that role, they opt for 'central power' wherein they 'decide'—and 'commoners' are vassals.

President George Washington was an advocate for giving more power to the federal government. Washington (a Federalist), took that position when he found it difficult to fight the British, having to rely on agreement among States to fund the war. Opposed to that view were Jefferson and Madison (Republicans), who saw the strength of the Republic in an awkward but sustainable separation of powers—and individual rights.

Our ongoing clash of 2 political parties traces to these men, but each of them was head and shoulders above 'banking families' (in terms of reason). Without Washington the British would have won the war. Without Jefferson the Constitution would have paled. And it was James Madison, alone, who wrote/sponsored the entire Bill of Rights.

Compared to bankers, who went from controlling wealth to controlling human beings, these men were 'giants among men', to whom we owe our nation, legacy, and freedom.

Our founders were American 'nationalists', but with something added that is now absent—abiding faith in and sanctification of sovereignty for the republic and its citizens.

'Globalism' is horrific, but what about 'nationalism'? Domestically, nationalism can be as horricic as globalism, if nationalism functions as tyranny—disallowing sovereignty.

Sovereignty is that thing that supports self-movement—that allows us to succeed or fail at our own behest/initiative—the history of what America has been—and our legacy.

Elites want control on a global scale—as in a military where everyone knows who to salute and who salutes. Laborers will be needed but Bill Gates/Fauci will not be one.

The Constitution and Bill of Rights is the basis for our 'nationalist republic'—intent on sovereignty. In my last article I identified the biggest threat to the US as coming from intelligence/policing agencies conflated with the 'left'. I stand by that—but with an explanation. The Obama/Biden cartel, while intent on a 'globalist collective', is forging a 'national' police state—to be offered up to 'global lords'—once we are 'brought to heel'.

Our republic finds itself in much the same place as does the Fed—without a means to bring us back into balance—politically or economically. At the same time 'rogue agencies' such as the FBI defy Congress, looking down their elite noses at Americans. We are at war domestically for sovereignty conveyed in the Bill of Rights, fighting policing agencies, supposedly established to insure/protect the rights outlined by Madison.

On the global front we are in a 'war of the worlds', where nationalists contend with an elite global collective, leaving in doubt the role of sovereignty for more than 8 billion.

On the global and national stages, it is imperative that we identify threats to our republic—and whether those threats are caused by greed or power. To better understand who is pulling the 'levers of power', and why, requires a closer look at elite motivation for either wealth or control. Were we to get wrong the order of primacy between elites driven by greed, as compared to control, consequences for our rights would be dire.

With that in mind I have to re-assess the global threat. Although I tend to disparage CBDC (the elite are trying it out in Nigeria where it is failing miserably), CBDC is the ultimate tool as means of elite control, Locked-down digital currency would allow a globalist collective to control purchasing power, curtail movement, and medical sovereignty.

Elite design on total control has a long history—which technology now makes possible. So, who is pulling the ultimate levers? It almost doesn't matter. To use G. Edward Griffith's imagery: like mushrooms after a big rain—they were there all along, but now they are everywhere. In this ultimate control battle, the elite have to win, existentially.

How we beat them, I'm not sure. But 1st we identify the problem: Using a 'police state' (think FBI/HS), they break us down to where we can be handed over to 'feudal lords'. Globally, the elite usher those already broken down into feudal servitude. For the rest of us, there are only 2 ways they can achieve desired control: WHO convinces nations to abandon their charters—giving over all sovereignty to 'Teddy and the WHO'.

Then CBDC—maybe it won't work or resistance will mount against it. Maybe. But unless my reasoning is wrong, the 'left' will attempt to use police powers against us, while global elites will attempt to take full and final control through the WHO or using CBDC.

Once elites attain control, they get the wealth by default. In future articles I will measure everything in terms of sovereignty, administrations, candidates, and policies. But for now let's measure sound money—gold. Constitutional wimps, such as Doomberg, make a case that when gold becomes illegal, as a law-abiding citizen, he will turn-in his gold for dollars. Please remind him the elite give a crap for the law, and he 'chose a chicken' for his mascot—coincidence? Gold, our economic birthright, is no coincidence.

You can get my articles by email, by request: erik@neverhadaboss.com. Thank you.