



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

*Fording the River of Woke*

Fording a river is to cross it—at the shallows. Where the current is swift or the river wide, fording is perilous—only to be attempted with caution—in auspicious weather.

There was always a *river divide* in American politics, that historically conservatives had a hand in. Conservatives haven't changed, but the left, the erstwhile protectors of free speech, under leadership of Hillary/Kerry, seek to cancel/end the 1st Amendment.

Why is that? 2 reasons. The left is controlled by the WEF, with a mission to reduce global population by half. Thinking themselves compassionate, the left don't see the divide and kill objective of the elite. Or that depopulation is directed towards questioners.

A major problem for the left is Donald Trump. Trump Derangement Syndrome is powerful, and until he is gone from the political landscape, dialogue is impossible. Interestingly, many we refer to as woke are independents—with surprising views. Independents are closer to republicans than dems in their view of government overreach.

Because of Trump derangement, what independents voice in private is not what they voice in public. 71% of independents think government has too much control. 86% think society is better off when individuals make decisions for themselves. Only 4% see themselves as better-off than 4 years ago. Why don't they speak up? Because, like questioners, they are beat down. Affected, they opt to keep their views to themselves.

1 of 2 things needs to happen: either Trump prevails in the November election, or he does not—and disappears from the landscape. If he prevails (and makes sound decisions), he can possibly slow or even stop WEF plans. If he loses, we are in big trouble, because without Trump, a warmonger/Biden or moronic/Harris would not be in power.

For any who don't get the necessity *to ford the river of woke*, if communication with woke independents is not achieved—the republic is doomed. Independents on the left come from a place of caring but don't see themselves as under control of perpetrators of evil. They don't share in my rogue gallery of evil: Hillary/Kerry/Gates/Kamala—et al.

We cannot simply dismiss those on the left who refuse to talk through issues. As in a hospital, they need considered in terms of triage—a process for prioritizing care for patients based on the needs and the availability of resources. I'm not suggesting the left are sick, but they have abandoned long-held support for the Constitution/Bill of Rights.

How is it that well-meaning get cooped by the evil ones—in a cynical world? Culture is defined by policies and the policy in the West is to abolish/criminalize free speech.

Recently I watched *WW2-Colorized*. Hitler's incremental steps toward eradication of Jews had to be nuanced because Germans would not have gone along with the horror. Nazis began by identifying Jewish shops with signage, before taking those shops. Only later, stripped of rights, did Jews head to death camps in far-away Poland—in boxcars.

You think that can't happen here? Remember that more than 60% of our neighbors supported that 'unvaccinated' spend time in re-education centers (internment camps). And now? How about leftists who don't see the progression *from doing good—to divide and kill*? Are they not those who (like 1930s Germans) will make the horror possible?

Let's take 1 example of an incremental American pathway to feudal hell—trans/sex/gender—and the function of *pronouns* in that discussion. Consider 2 things: sex and gender. I draw the line at sex. No Algerians in my world get to beat up women in the Olympics, or take the place of a woman on the swimming medal stand, or bare their testicles in a women's locker-room. For sickos who duck the issue by making locker rooms uni-sex, I maintain a Jeffersonian pledge of *eternal hostility* towards all of you .

But what about *gender fluidity*? What about those who see themselves as *non-binary*? If someone requests I refer to them by a desired pronoun (unless it functions as a dictate), I'm okay with it. If asked to call someone by a preferred name—I don't object. And before you betray a brittle/ossified view, showing you don't understand gender fluidity, hang with me because this isn't about gender—this is about a path to feudal hell.

I'm not concerned with gender—my concern is with the Constitution/Bill of Rights. The problem isn't with agreeing to use a preferred pronoun. It's with the next incremental step: because *gender non-binaries* feel uncomfortable, elites mandate that I volunteer my pronouns and ask for theirs. In this transition I am made responsible to them.

There are now articles on college websites shifting that responsibility. For any who don't know, this is how Jordan Peterson lost his teaching career—he was willing to use a pronoun when requested—but not when ordered. Shifting the responsibility from LBT QXYZ to the public is 1 step away from, refusal to use pronouns, codified as harassment/—chargeable as a criminal offense. As is with hate crimes in the European Union.

The post election outlook for the US is not good. If Trump loses, *moronic hell* will be the order of the day. If Trump wins, will he give credibility to *fording the river of woke*, or having been burned by the left, instead use his base base as a bludgeon to get even?

Whatever comes down, the elite will not go away—instead they will chip away. Divide and kill (because they still control levers of power), will not abate. If Trump wins, the WHO will work to gain control over health (then everything else). Proceeding with an ongoing mission, elites will use the networks, social platforms, and intelligence agencies to continue on, incrementally, with their mission—as nothing has changed.

No matter who wins the election (if there is one), the consequences could be dire. If Trump wins it will become evident the left has become a cult—and there is nothing that a cult won't do. If Trump loses, what then? For those who say that's not going to happen, you might be right, but consider just how quickly civil war could become reality?

Jim Rickards reminded us today of Hemingway's, *The Sun Also Rises*. 1 character asks: *How did you go bankrupt?* Answer: *Two ways, gradually, and then suddenly.*

A US bankruptcy is coming soon—in 1 of those 2 ways. But what about an ethical bankruptcy that tears asunder the republic? Could it be that we are closer to that than economic collapse? I don't know how to make it happen—but we'd better start talking.

Get my articles by email with a request: erik@neverhadaboss.com. And thank you.