



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

Deep US Architecture Doesn't End—It Updates

To understand US economics, we have to understand Deep US Architecture—domestic/global. Domestically, the US model is crony capitalism, profit-motivated, oblivious to American needs and productivity. For example, what's wrong with our electrical grid? China's is publicly owned and 8X as effective as the US grid. Ask the question, is electricity a *common good utility* needing made readily available to American citizens?

Is energy itself a common good? Yeah, I know what some of you are going to say— whatever the government has a hand in—ends in disaster. But is that a bill of goods we have been sold, and are there some areas of the economy that need to be public?

Altman/Thiel are bloodsucking monsters intent on gaining monopoly control over the things Americans need. Thanks to data-centers (in the private interest), draining electric energy, Americans are paying 19 cents PKH for electricity, from 14 just months ago. Meanwhile, Chinese citizens pay 6 cents, the same as their AI developers. With those numbers, isn't it obvious who wins the AI race? For profit, data-centers in the US will raise the cost of electricity by multiples—under monopoly control. This is analogous to the money thrown after climate change/sustainable energy at the cost of fossil fuels. Under Trump, it's all changed—without a plan. China has 8X the

sustainable energy as the US and is investing more. Here, depending on an election—we fund one or the other.

The US will lose the AI war (no matter what Bessent says), because It will take a long time before the US catches-up with China in rare earth/electricity generation.

Altman needs the US to ban Chinese competitors because OpenAI, the company behind ChatGPT is losing money—3X losses to profit. Altman claims Chinese competitors are the CPC (not so), and he's begging Trump to underwrite his AI monopoly.

The actual problem is Altman's model. The 1st fix is free—then you pay forever. The Chinese model come as open-source—and must be stopped. What's Altman's model? It's what Bloomberg calls circular financing. Consider circular funding as where you pass an ounce of silver to friend, he passes it another and another, then back to you. Can each claim profit from that passing? Of course not. Altman has a commitment of \$1.4T in data-centers—money that doesn't exist, except for credit. Oracle builds the data-center using OpenAI promise-to-pay collateral. In the same way, Oracle buys the chips from NVIDIA on credit. Completing the circle, NVIDIA invests heavily in Open-AI. Only chipmaker NVIDIA is making money, selling the shovels (chips), as did hardware companies during the US gold rush. This completes the circular non-payment of debt.

What do Thiel/Altman want? They want monopoly based on assertions that competition is for losers. Though later denied on X, their request from Trump is for direct funding from the Defense Proaction Act/Dept./Energy loan program—to subsidize chipmakers through cost-sharing agreements/loan guarantees—to counter the Chinese menace by de-risking our AI. Altman/Thiel, if funded, will sink themselves/US economy.

Altman/Thiel is a return to 'too big to fail' when banks were not prosecuted for bundling and selling flimflam, mortgage-backed securities. China won't import NVIDIA chips, or sell, except on a case by case basis, rare-earth minerals. Bessent (in an alternate universe), see this as China's downfall—while avoiding 165K lost US jobs in one month.

If the bloodsucking cronies can't be stopped (and there is no mounting movement), what comes next? Everything has been set up—the disclosure you signed for a bank account or a brokerage firm/hedge fund has taken control of your assets. Without taking a small print, deep dive, here's what's likely to happen to you and your investments.

With documents already signed, there will be little need for CBDC because the UCC Code already allows for coming emergencies to protect the system—but not you. As an investor, you have a security entitlement to the value of an asset—but not the asset.

Here's how it works. An algorithm identifies a liquidity stress, an abnormality in the system anticipates—a need to take action. Emergency continuity protocol dictates that all allocated assets (yours), are frozen/pooled/or re-allocated into another line of credit.

Hearing Bessent go off about a tyrannical China controlling markets, is from dreamland. While the US tries to control China *virtually*, with sanctions, etcetera, China, because they can, control the US by restricting the exporting of *actual* things. For example, China is the 2nd largest exporter of silver and will restrict silver exports in 2026 when the US will be experiencing a 126B silver deficit—causing Bessent apoplexy. While Bessent gloats over the first magnet produced in decades in the US, producing rare earths is the filthiest thing on the planet, such that, the West will not abide it.

With job losses having gone from 800K to 1.1M over a few months, and

what we have already signed-onto, the UCC already has resolution authority to seize control. At the 1st major economic disruption, with 100 central banks testing CBDC, traditional banks merge into a digital, national collateral system that issues federal stability credit, much like Trump's move to give each taxpayer/citizen, \$2000. Then it morphs into CBDC? Only accredited institutions will be able to trade securities. Small business will need a license to transact. Digital entry required for institutionalized custodial systems.

As a result, liquidity across the credit market evaporates, repo ends and there is no more short-term lending. There is no one to talk to and there is no communication until we hear that a stabilization meeting will be followed by decisive action. A meeting that may or may not occur. Decisive action has already been taken. You own nothing.

Where there is nothing but chaos, we will be offered a federal stability, digital wallet, with which one can still live and purchase, through accepting being entirely controlled.

Are you somewhat protected by owning physical things? Though the custodian of physical assets, there will be no institutional way to sell/trade—but still barter-able.

Can this happen here? What makes it possible, and what makes it impossible? If AI takes all the jobs, where are the buyers for corporate wares? If all wealth is digitally controlled, from where comes new wealth and taxes. All of this needs to be addressed.

Get my articles by email with a request, or comment:
erik@neverhadaboss.com.