

## neverhadaboss.com updates on the insane world of money and power *Community/Civility Was Our Bedrock—"And It's Gone"*

Any semblance of community is lost when a town or a nation splits into divisive contention, and there can be no community where civility is absent. Or, when civility is shallow, becoming defensiveness/anger when contentious subjects get brought up.

The divisiveness within our culture gets blamed on racism, revolving around an ideology that the Constitution is a racist document. But that's not it because racism (unless its the bigotry towards 'white conservatives'), has been on the wane for decades.

Because the US is less racist than a time when it was racist, what's the motivation to have it branded as such? The Constitution hasn't changed—it's the same document written to be acceptable to southern states so as to be ratified, but with a self-rectifying feature built into it—later used to assure that the Bill of Rights extended to all citizens.

So who are 'the they' who want the Constitution cancelled? Unfortunately, it's victims, devoid of 'self-worth' and abandoned by the welfare state, and no longer able to function on an 'equal playing-field' for all citizens. That idea does not work for 'victims'.

'Fairness' isn't good enough because it's a dead end for the left (previously liberals), who view the Constitution as inadequate for their purposes. As in, they detest the US being a republic and not a democracy. All those checks and balances get in the way of democracy (mob rule). What they want is issues decided by ideology—not 'rule of law'.

They want legislators/judges (representing their particular interest group), to make a case based on ideology—not having to bother with the Constitution. With no interest in Constitutional reclamation, they are reclaiming America from constitutional rule of law.

How do they intend to do this? By putting personal agenda ahead of national interest. Consider our southern border with gates welded open—a chaos not in the national interest. Instead, it's straight-up Marxism, rationalized as inclusion/equity for all—blather intended to sway 'dumbsters' to support a mission to eviscerate the 'rule of law'.

Leaders with a personal agenda that they hold as more important than national interests are not Americans—prescribed by the Constitution. Biden's (leftist) tactics, such as relocating/degrading US citizens for illegal immigrant benefit is illegal. Therein, Biden and Co. are not (except by accident of birth), 'constitutional Americans'. Using taxpayer dollars to support illegals, or, tax giveaways to foreign proxy wars, while US citizens suffer results of failed government economic policy—is also unconstitutional.

While I'm at it, a clarification on the term 'immigrant'-redefined as 'refugee'. A

refugee seeks asylum from oppression, but reportedly, many that cross our borders have had their trip paid for by 'globalist elites'. To what end? Dismemberment of the US. These immigrants are not refugees—and those behind it are constitutional traitors.

Their rebuttal is a rationalization that we need countless illegals, but know this: the rising rate of US crime and 'illegal immigration' correlate exactly—as is true for the EU.

Unless we see nuclear war, the biggest threat to the US (world), is a planned dismantling of the US Constitution. Were that to happen we would experience, economic, medical, military, and political feudalism, and having lost our heritage—maybe all hope.

From where comes the impetus to cancel the Constitution? Answering the 'how' 'question', leaving the 'why' for a later article, this plot comes from the 'top of academia'—'lvy League' schools (Harvard, Yale), where it's been fermenting/fomenting for more than a decade. Their premise: 'the Constitution is broken and not to be reclaimed. To that end, they indoctrinate law students as anti-Constitution revolutionaries.

They view the Constitution as an oppressive, patriarchal document used to suppress minorities—and others. Which is not the case given the amendment process embodied in the Constitution—which has righted wrongs. Interestingly, the faction now wanting to 'cancel' the 'law of the land', opts for CRT (Critical Race Theory), which divides rather than unites, under a banner of social justice—while detesting 1st Amendment rights.

Under that 'banner of equity' for radical gender/CRT/LBGTQ/climate change, 'higher education' is making an all-out effort to rid us of the Bill of Rights—with a supposition that there is no 1st Amendment protection for 'whites'—needing attacked as retribution.

Elite education identifies subjects against who, legal violence is to be deployed. If you disagree with the hierarchy you have no 1st Amendment rights—your speech is violent suppression of minorities. Using activist students as self-styled members of an aggressive vanguard that owes its existence on perceived evil of conservative views—these 'warriors of anarchy' literally equate words, facts, and questions, with violence.

Elites have unbelievable contempt for the First Amendment because they have neither the intelligence nor the courage to operate within its confines. They demand topdown decision-making governance, making laws and deciding cases on ideology—not law. They employ the model used by Pharma—swapping out science for consensus.

'How' they intend to do this gained exponential traction over just a decade. 20 years ago no case could have been made for the horror of Antifa/BLM as 'policing' for an ideology'. Or, that 'criminals' could rob/steal at will—then be let go because courts care more about criminals than victims. Assuming endemic racism, the courts want criminals rehabilitated—not behind bars. And prisons should be emptied because they are racist.

I'm compelled to address an idea not necessarily shared by some friends who view 'conservatives' and 'the left' as cut from the same cloth. Republican/democrat representatives, though mostly comprised of corporate whores, are 'distinct' from each other.

I grew up a NYT liberal, when dems were about the little guy/Bill of Rights. Now the parties have shifted, with a 'left', more the 'war mongers'/haters of free speech/liberty.

In nearly 8 decades on this planet I am as terrified for my nation as 'never' before. And detesting the republican party for the same failings I saw in it as a young man, I see 'the left', fast approaching the horror that was Nazi Germany/Stalin (another Joe).

I would like to hear what readers think about my 'fear of the left'. That said, I'm quite prepared to vote for Trump (who I don't care for), as our best bet to save the republic.

You can get my articles emailed to you, by request: erik@neverhadaboss.com.