

neverhadaboss.com updates on the insane world of money and power Banksters Want Us Lined-Up For The Next Available Teller

Human history, at least for the last few thousand years, tells the same story. The people of a nation, at great cost of blood, find some freedom, as did the United States. But, lurking in the background are the *banksters*, knowing, that in the end—they will prevail.

Trump, an instinctual but not a learned man, understands this. He might even understand that Putin/Xi have taken steps to assured that monied interests (oligarchs), do not return to power. It's easier for them. They warn oligarchs of the limitations—or else.

Trump's unenviable position requires he distance himself from oligarchs, while he identifies with oligarchs. Were he to opt for Americans over oligarchs, his own advisors, Congress, and oligarchs would seek his demise. Cross-purpose is the order of the day.

Dividing our republic has been effective. Were there agreement of purpose (to serve the needs of the people), evidenced in public/private partnerships, we would not have policies that are absolute madness. As in, dictatorial proposed ballot measures (supported by state governments), in Colorado/Oregon, to end farming and ranching, opting for indigenous species land-use laws—without concern for the future of humanity.

Sanity has been waylaid—for that the left is to blame, and also responsible (because of non-rational fossil fuel policies), for putting US energy grids at risk. But, oil/gas are finite resources, without which sustainable alternative energy cannot be realized. So, *drill baby drill*, while providing short-term relief, also fails to address sustainable energy.

The powers that be try to sell America as a democracy, when in fact US concern for citizen well-being is less than in Russia/China. While policies there can be enforced dictatorially, in the US, citizens go unconsidered—government policy benefit oligarchs.

To understand the heritage Trump is up against, consider the foreign policy track record of the US. For WW1/WW2, the US didn't give anything away. We insisted that allies repay the USA (what the US classified as loans). This caused crushing reparations to be put on Germany, post WW1, which resulted in the rise of Hitler's Nazi Party, and WW2. Russia didn't complete paying-off the US loans made for WW2 until 2006.

It's a long time since the US played fair, and considering Trump's tariffs/threats, it's valid to fear that policy will not abate. For example, consider how Trump rationalizes nations trading with each other in local currencies, as subject to US control/sanctions.

As with the petrodollar, forcing all energy purchases done in dollars, the US requires global trade settled through coordinating, US, dollar-based banks. Cutting-out US set-

tlement banks triggers sanctions on any nations choosing to deal in local currencies.

When China didn't buckle to Trump's tariffs, it took a week before Dimon, Ackman, Musk, tapped-out, insisting on a 90 day, *oligarchical pause*. These men, understanding the money game, saw the tariffs as recessionary. Or worse—a trigger for depression. Trump insists that he kept high Chinese tariffs. No, he gutted tariffs on needed imports.

Oligarchs saw where the tariffs were going, but oligarchs bring another side of reality that is mean/banal. Suppose you're Monsanto and you've been buying up Ukraine farmland (they have). Do you push for peace, or for *your* thing that's not the *right thing*?

Will Trump get his Nobel Prize (that he wants), as the Peace President? I pray he does. But, during the 1st 4 months of his administration, there's been a 33% increase of arms trafficking to the Middle East—without public discloser. And because Trump is a proponent of 1-man-rule, even a republican-controlled Congress, where many democrats would sign on, Trump feels no compulsion to consult with Congress. Instead, the *peace president*, by stroke of pen, delivers \$3Bs arms (bunker bombs)—to Israel.

With his tariffs/war agenda in Palestine/Iran Trump has caused a change in the world from which there is no coming back. Even more frightening is the alleged purpose of Trump's ME trip—to convince the Saudis to greatly reduce oil prices, forcing Russia to make concessions on its national security. What Trump fails to see (and what Biden laughed about), is BRICS, especially Russia/China/Iran—now ready to face us down.

So you see my dilemma: readers, who don't do the required research to come up with facts, after years of being with me, are befuddled as to whether I've lost my mind. Why, so soon after the election am I critiquing Trump as aggressively as I did Obama/ Biden? It's because I ask the questions: is Trump a mixed bag—at odds with himself?

For a snapshot of the dichotomy of American reality: I was copied an email that was in response to my article *God Bless the USA—And No One Else*. It's a 1976 duet by Loretta Lynn/Conway Twitty: *God Bless America* Again—a plea that God restore America, with Twitty admitting he doesn't understand what happened to America he loves.

To some degree, I do know what happened to Conway's America, because it's my business to know. But, like the guy who sent the email, I have history with Conway. I was 13, on the bus to Norwalk, maybe in the 9th grade, in 1958. Mr. Broils was the driver. All the school buses back then had radios, and this one he liked to play real loud.

I remember the day I first heard of Conway Twitty on that radio, a rock/n/roll singer. There he was with: *It's Only Make Believe*—that flashed to number 1. As a musician and singer, I must have covered that song 500 times. A favorite—I love it to this day.

That said, the duo of Conway/Loretta represent a nostalgic patriotism for a nation that no longer exists. To understand the reality facing the US, we consult other duos, Douglas McGregor/Jeffrey Sachs, or, Bill Holter/Andy Schectman. And the question we ask ourselves is whether or not Donald Trump represents an era that no longer exists, or will his instinct for peace overcome his chosen advisors—who don't support peace?

I opened my computer tonight to find gold exactly 100 times the price of silver. Given that silver comes out of the ground closer to 8 to 1, compared to gold, what does that mean? With economic pundits forecasting gold to rise between 3 to 50X the current price, were gold to rise just 5X, that would put it in the \$15,000 range. If silver, meanwhile, adjusted back to a 10 to 1 ratio, the price of silver would be \$1500. Since economic calamity is likely, those numbers reflect reality, not fantasy. What's that tell you?

Get my articles by email with a request, or comment: erik@neverhadaboss.com.