



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

*A World—In the Grip of Madness*

Has the world ever been in a grip of madness where we find ourselves today? Maybe, but on a much smaller scale. Have we ever faced the level of threat we face now?

A previous US mouthpiece for Iraqi WMD, totally debunked, is back, swilling lies that Russia intends to use nuclear weapons against Ukraine. When, for the US, the war still seemed winnable, where was he? Only after the rout of Ukraine and NATO do the fools come out to play. Meanwhile, Ukraine conducts a drone strike against the largest EU nuclear facility—at the same time US idiots spew lies that Ukraine can win/join NATO'.

There's a method to this madness, involving 3 premises. 1: at the risk of ending mankind, the US will not allow Russia to win in Ukraine. 2: the US will attack Taiwan, assuring China does not win the economic war. And 3: at the same time the US makes verbal criticisms against Israeli genocide, it will continue to supply 2 ton, dumb bombs.

For any who yet maintain there is a US plan to avoid WW3, reflect on a US having little/no control of the situation, once it goes beyond limits that the US has control over.

Recent developments show how we are fudging the line into nuclear holocaust. For perspective, we can reflect on Robert E. Lee. After the defeat at Gettysburg, when his generals wanted to participate in asymmetric warfare (guerrilla warfare made necessary by 1 side having superior advantage over the other). Lee, in order to save the republic and to end the trade in American blood, chose the sane path—accepting defeat.

Not so today. Begin with Victoria Nuland warning Putin of asymmetric warfare surprises in store for him. Was she in the loop, or worse, a planner in the slaughter of innocent Russian youth? And, given alleged CIA involvement, had it been sanctioned at the highest level of the Biden Administration? More likely, Biden had already signed-on to defeating Russia using any means necessary—negating that he be kept in the loop.

When anything goes, asymmetric warfare often descends into terrorism—finding purchase only among the insane. Does the US have a plan, or has humanity's grave already been dug—with gravediggers remaining on site to assure a proper burial?

As reality comes into focus, continuing to see Putin as the problem in Ukraine, focusing on the ruse of Taiwan as our issue with China, or remaining 'neutral' in the war in Palestine, renders one indiscernible from those lining up to take Pfizers' fifth booster.

We've had ample time to see through Ukraine and Taiwan, so let's focus on Israel, which may be the most difficult of the 3 to understand—as it is admixed with religion..

Does Israeli response in Palestine meet standards of measured response. No, it exceeds any norm. Whether we term it genocide/mass murder, what is Israel's mission?

Why has Israel pulled out of Gaza? Because Hamas abides in deep bunkers, rendering casualties mainly among civilians/Israelis. And something more fundamental: the human psyche cannot abide ongoing slaughter, and the function of IDF in Palestine is 'slaughter'. In WW2, the Nazis shifted to Zyklon B gas for its 'final solution' mission.

Now, the slaughter in Palestine is being conducted by an algorithm—'Lavender AI'. Ex-CIA analyst, Larry Johnson, conducted a test case on the 'lavender algorithm', concluding it to be: 'garbage in—garbage out'. He used a test question: whether or not the strategy of Israel is analogous to what was done by the Nazis in WW2? The algorithm replied that his question was too sensitive for a reply. 'Lavender', used to slaughter women/children, was likely programmed by globalist 'agents of woke'—fact checkers.

'Lavender AI,' works on a rating system. Every *Palestinian* has been rated, 1/100 in terms of 'target desirability'. With this in place, AI determines how many civilians can be killed using 2 ton, US dumb-bombs for each suspected location. What's this program called? "Where's daddy?". It waits for the target to come home, killing the entire family, flattening a neighborhood. High risk Hamas targets allows for killing 100 civilians.

'Lavender' makes it such that humans don't have to make the decisions. "We didn't kill these people, the algorithm did." But the targets are unlikely Hamas. Everything in Gaza is associated with its governing body, Hamas, which came to power, supported by Israel. And Hamas doesn't go home at night. Its living quarters are in deep bunkers.

Why murder aid workers? No food/medicine is to reach the Arabs. Under the guise of war, the goal is total eradication. To this end, Bibi has to invade Rafah, which he maintains is a Hamas stronghold. Tactics for his strategy: eradicate Palestinians and attack an Iranian consulate, drawing Iranian retaliation. Get the US into a war with Iran.

Think on the WEF and its support of eugenics as an underlying mission of population reduction. Once that mission is accepted, over time, what are the ethical restraints on the mission? What happens, is what happened in Israel, religious zealotry/orthodoxy took control from the secular Jews. Inevitably, power shifted to the hands of groups less inclined to consider human suffering in the ongoing pursuit of the overall mission.

Why I'm focusing on Israel as an increased threat for nuclear holocaust is because Israel, for many, because of religion, is not to be questioned. But, as history tells it, religion and politics make for strange and dangerous bedfellows. It might seem that wanton murder of civilians—with the US being the only UN vote against stopping the holocaust, would be enough to 'raise a din' of questioning over Israeli motives—but it is not.

As if mRNA, the Fed/Treasury, Ukraine/US/NATO, NordStream. Taiwan, and other dangers were not enough, here comes the Israeli response to October 7th, which for many, of all areas of contention, is the most difficult to discuss in a reasonable fashion.

Yet, come to terms with it we must. Fundamental questions need asked and answered: Was there ever a possibility from Israel perspective for a 2 state solution? And given the unquestioned mission to establish a Jewish state in an area greatly outnumbered by Arab Muslims, was there ever a possibility for anything other than 'apartheid'?

Was what happened on October 7th the result of 75 years of Arab oppression by Israel. If so, did the Arabs have other options than taking the fight to the Jewish state?

There is no going back to conditions that persisted for 75 years. What do you think?

Get my articles by email with a request: erik@neverhadaboss.com. And thank you.

