



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

## *A Stroll Down 'Constitution' Avenue*

The real reason to get some gold/silver in your hands, now, before you finish this article, is that the world has gone mad, with a promise to make that insanity—your future.

Pallets of digital dollars (US taxpayer debt), are given away to foreign nations, without debate. Given rather than loaned, and even when loaned, will play-out as a non-repayable gifting. Dollars that are needed to assist a bankrupt US in closing an open sieve at its southern border are instead used to fund genocide and to bring-on WW3.

Begging the question: where in the Constitution is Congress mandated a power to give taxpayer dollars to foreign nations? To answer that and many other questions, we 'pan' Constitution for 'gold', *filtering down* to those things we need to know, so as to understand which constitutional mandates are being *subverted* or *expropriated*', illegally, with an explicit intention to strip/transfer citizen's rights to the power/control of the few.

This exercise (for myself as well as readers), is to go through *The Declaration of Independence*, *the Constitution*, and *Bill of Rights* focusing on those things that Americans (all freedom loving people), need to know, to retake *liberty* and save the *republic*.

It will take several articles to *filter-down* to what's necessary to win a war against elites. This war against elites is nothing new. Woodrow Wilson, father of the *Sedition Act*, the Fed, US entry into WW1, had students at his alma mater arrested for reading from *The Declaration of Independence*. And which of his minions did he send down to do that? A young J. Edgar Hoover, who later brought a dark cloud over US Justice for 45 years. History exposed both men, while the Constitution remains—*law of the land*.

Before going through our founding documents, chapter and verse, we can begin by painting with a broad brush, to address *free speech*, generally, as well as what the authoritarian elites are using to strip that right—beginning with FBI Director, Wray's admission that 1/3 of his force is busy seeking-out crime on social media. Is that legal?

For an answer to that, let's go back to the Brandeis Court and the Brandenburg decision. In that decision the court ruled that so-called hate speech could meet the definition of a crime—only if the danger from it were imminent. As in, if someone *bull-horns* that we need to march on them and kill them—and no better time for it than right now.

But, if some *hate speech* is spoken by someone 500 miles away, and there is time to challenge that *speech*, that *speech* is *innocuous*—and covered under the 1st Amendment. Unanimously, the Brandeis court protected all *innocuous* speech as *free speech*.

Freedom of speech is what makes all of us equal—that thing that allows Americans to weigh facts—and come to reasonable conclusions. As in the buildup to the American revolution where 1/3 of Americans supported revolution, while 1/3 wanted to stay with the Crown. The remaining 1/3 were indifferent. But the 1st Amendment changed that. Soon 2/3rds of Americans supported the revolution—given the opportunity to talk it out.

Let's get back to director, Wray. *The government shall make no law...*—instead they attempt to circumvent that by using agencies (FBI/CIA), to blackmail/threaten social networks into giving over information about Americans who are neither the object of a criminal probe—nor are they accused of having committed a crime. Is this legal/illegal?

There's a concept in constitutional law known as 'chill'. 'Chill' translates to government disallowed to hire others to threaten or perform illegal acts against Americans. Does government use illegally collected data to go after Americans? Not up front because elites don't want data gathering exposed—at least not until after a November election?.

But elites are brazen to the point of withholding funding from universities that refuse to crackdown on peaceful protest. This is definitely a 'chill' in the air. Students, who pay big bucks to go to school are at risk of expulsion under threat from the elite. This is unconstitutional as found in *Terry v Ohio*: any evidence illegally gathered, cannot be used.

Where else do we see 'chill'? Internationally, where a bunch of US Senators signed on to a pledge to go after any member of a world court that indicts Netanyahu. They make no distinction between Israeli Zionistic, foreign policy and antisemitism. Imagine, US Senators threatening a world court, with a last sentence of: *We're warning you*.

This brings up a question of mine: What about Biden derangement syndrome? BDS is an anti-derangement syndrome where, because ya'll hate Trump, you can't see the son-of-a-bitch (and his warmonger cast), that you support, is way worse than Trump.

Maybe worse than the censure of free criticism about the US by Americans, is the insanity sweeping the nation in terms of *antisemitism*. When the gradual, unconscious assimilation of ideas, teaching through osmosis/propaganda fails—the elites must act.

Americans are against most of what elites support and promote. So, as with Ukraine where all its lost, with the only card left to play—nuclear war, what the people want—*be damned*. So, elites criminalize Americans unwilling to conflate Zionism/antisemitism.

Did we rewrite a 1st Amendment: *Congress shall make a law...* protecting the actions of a foreign nation from debate/criticism by Americans? No worries, the law will only defund universities that refuse to expel peaceful protestors, making possible government reprisal for the purpose of ruining lives economically. That's that *chill* thing—right?

Calling it *chill* is apt because it's a way to put a *chill in the bones* of any American that goes against elite narrative. So, where's the *hue and cry in these hallowed halls* that any legislators who voted yes on the antisemitism bill broke their oath of office?

My focus is not on Israel. Rather, elite identification with insanity that is sweeping the land, resulting in inability or unwillingness to make distinctions in terms of *inalienable rights*. What are those rights? Rights defined as endowed by the Creator. Or with a broader brush—defined as pre-political. No matter the origin of our rights—they are both pre-political and post-political. Rights that exist before and after the J Edgars, the Wilsons, the Bidens—bones turn to dust. OMG, imagine a US if Biden gets re-elected?

This stroll down Constitution Avenue is a vital effort to simplify a big picture. However, given the news, I'll likely address some consequences of greed, as we do the *stroll*.

Get my articles by email with a request: [erik@neverhadaboss.com](mailto:erik@neverhadaboss.com). And thank you.