



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

*A Layman's Take On The Trump Indictment*

Understanding what is happening to Donald Trump requires an overview of changes within the American political landscape—and how those changes are being used to silence/punish Trump. This indictment is so politically-motivated that it ought to send a chill through every American—on our path of no return—that we are forced to take.

About this politically-merciless attack, Americans need to be made aware of legal facts. Trump is Trump. Is he capable of indiscretions? Of course he is. He's also a 'one of a kind president', willing to blurt out truths: Is NATO necessary? We need to understand what is being done to Trump, so as to understand how it puts the republic at risk.

What has changed in the US political structure? A 2 hour discussion between Neil deGrasse Tyson (astrophysicist), and Del Bigtree ('The HighWire'), speaks to it. For 45 minutes, while Tyson waxed strong about scientific method, peer review, and scientific consensus, he offered neither critique nor questions about the 'vaccines'/lockdowns'. Also, Tyson features in a documentary identifying Bigtree as a 'clickbait' Pharma critic.

For Tyson, 'arrived science consensus', having been tested and peer-reviewed is what science is. And, in this process individual researchers/physicians are in-consequential because it is the 'consensus' that 'cuts its way' into the future. Nothing is further from the truth or 'scientific method'. Breakthroughs in science often come from outsiders, reviled by contemporaries, for opining that the sun is the center the universe.

What is dangerous about Tyson (and his cadres), is that he is viewed as a voice for pop-culture science. When deGrass, or some Oscar nominee, advises us to take 'boosters'—people listen. If they propagandize in favor of an administration hell-bent on one-world government—people listen. If they want to get Trump—people again listen.

Consensus ('collective') proponents, have been institutionalized such that they are unaware, almost entirely, about virus data, 'vaccine' averse reactions, or consequences for the lockdowns. Also, they are ignorant about 'sound money'/Bill of Rights/Ukraine.

'Consensus plebs (possessing little self-worth as individuals), do not understand that what passes as 'accepted science consensus', whether pertaining to politics, economics, or medicine, has been taken over by a 'consensus tyranny'. They don't get it that any curiosity they had is usurped by 'power brokers', who are also institutionalized.

When it is uttered that individual researchers/scientists do not matter—that only the 'consensus matters'—that includes American citizens—that individually don't matter.

Every tyranny is a process of political encroachment, where power is transferred by coercion, then by force, from the individual to the state. Within that process, intelligence agencies, courts, representative bodies, along with the media, function as peer-reviewed institutions—wherein they speak ill with one falsified, disingenuous voice.

With that groundwork let's have a look at the DT indictment. Political prosecution in the US is illegal. Repetitious, 'stacked' indictments need tossed. The prosecutor ran on a platform to get Trump—that's political. As an aside, 40 undercover cops—allegedly were involved in the January 6th, 'Senate walkthrough'? If so, 40 cops serves as a reminder that the FBI (government) is allegedly guilty of 'rigging' the last 2 elections.

What is Trump being charged with? 'Election fraud', which Trump has to be found guilty of—just for it to be a misdemeanor. What has to have happened for Trump to have committed a crime? Under 'due process' Trump needed to have made ledger entries (for legal fees), himself—knowing it to be illegal. If he made the entries himself (of course he did not), and council informed him it was legal, he is innocent under the law.

He had to willingly break the law, but 'Citizen's United' allows individuals to spend as much of their own money on campaigns as they wish. Trump could only be guilty of breaking election law if the ledger payments (he entered himself), were made to his 'political action committee'—not for 'a purpose'—'but the purpose'. But since Trump had other purposes: as in his wife finding yet another perfidy in their marriage, as well as Trump's hotels and TV show being his own 'brand', he had 'purposes' for any occasion.

The fees in the ledger needed to be direct contribution to the campaign, and it be the sole purpose. 'Legal fees' cannot be a contribution to a political campaign. Even if it were a political contribution, 'purpose' has to be the sole purpose, and it must be proved that Trump entered into 'false accounting' with an intent to defraud a 3rd party.

What about Trump's lawyer, Cohen (more proof that Trump is a lousy judge of character). Cohen was the 'fix-it guy'. Did Trump tell him to play off a 'porn star'? As fix-it man, did he do it on his own and then get reimbursed by Trump for legal fees. Prove it.

Did Cohen take it upon himself to make the payoff so as to secure a job in the Trump administration? Who knows? Is Cohen a social climber in pursuit of the Cohen brand?

Here's a couple more things to consider: Purportedly, neither in the State of New York nor in the United States has anyone been prosecuted for paying legal fees. And what about the charges? Everything Trump is being charged with happened in 2017. Wasn't the election cycle in 2015/2016? If so, how can Trump be charged 'out of time'?

Was the 'grand jury' instructed that this cannot be a felony, or was it the prosecutor's goal to get Trump charged even if the charges proved a charade, to cast an implication Trump committed election fraud? 'Even if there were a charge that could support the prosecution or the defense, (there is not), the 'rule of lenity' (also called rule of strict construction), is a criminal law principle stating that when a law is unclear or ambiguous, the court should apply it in the way that is most favorable to the defendant, or to construe the statute against the state.' Lenity is the last 'coffin nail' in this malfeasance.

The 'left' is hoping to 'float this boat' on a 'friendly, New York Sea'. But, with the world regarding the US and the dollar as having attained 'sad joke' status, the prosecution's ill-thought-out misadventure, has a more likely outcome: sending Donald Trump back into the 'Oval Office'. Even if he were forced to conduct a presidency from behind bars. The gods likely see 'as more fitting' humor—a 2nd term President Donald Trump. Contrarians are able to get my articles by email, by request: erik@neverhadaboss.com