



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

*"Emergency—Please to Keep from Streets"*

We *hear about* 'emergencies' all over the place, but the emergency in my title comes from a 1966 film, "The Russians Are Coming", where a Russian sub goes aground on a New England island. Alan Arkin, as a Russian officer, sounds the warning to the residents. The film was a good-hearted comedy where Russians were good guys, just like the New Englanders. Now, 'emergencies' keeping us from streets are no longer funny.

Our republic faces destruction from both economic and political causes. Yesterday, 9/11, is an event used by politicians (*never let a good crisis go to waste*), to secure a hold on power. To that end, what really happened on 9/11 is obscured from citizens.

For any who were not alive for 'Pearl Harbor' (3 years before I was born), our major political tragedies include the murder of John Kennedy, the Vietnam 'police action', and most significantly, 9/11—the attack on America. Each event has been used by 'domestic shadow governments' that are also alleged perpetrators of 'the causes' (CIA, Homeland Security), to either tighten their grip on Americans—or direct the national agenda.

We live in a time when unelected individuals pose as court judges, insistent on being referred to deferentially—although nobody voted for them. A time when more than 70% called to a DC grand-jury pool for 'President Trump' (I reckon he still is), had to be dismissed as they could not bring themselves to see him as innocent until proven guilty.

Now, out of the blue (or is it?), the New Mexico governor has taken notice/acted on an 'emergency', necessitating 'emergency curtailment' of citizens rights to 'carry' arms, This, after the Supreme Court re-affirmed in 'Bruen'—the right to 'keep and bear' arms.

New Mexico is a 'blue state' with a host of 'petty tyrants'—many that I ran into on a bike trip during that virus thing. As with all 'blue states', police, if not defunded, were harangued/harassed, made to feel as an auxiliary to 'actual blue police'—Antifa/BLM.

With police demoralized/defunded, crime has shot up in the 'bastions of the left', making officer hires difficult. There, criminals (pillaging retail/and beating the staff, or raping young girls in school bathrooms), are seen as 'misunderstood underprivileged', so police aren't called in. Better if handled internally—that gets you an 'emergency'.

There are no 'emergencies' in the Constitution, but there are mandated hierarchies—that need pointed out. First, there is no provision for 'political emergency' in the 'law of the land' and neither is there provision for 'domestic military emergency'—'martial law'. This was done to deny 'legality' for what we see unfolding in New Mexico (coming soon

to a theatre near you). Political/military emergencies were purposely made illegal.

Our founders were among the historically wise, seeing politics as a 'ship of fools'—calling for emergencies/martial law—when convenient. Hence, those emergency dictates from a president or a governor that we labored under these past years, enacted without 'rule of law' or 'due process'—are illegal—each an encroachment on our liberty.

The Bill of Rights is on the 'cutting block'. Using sallies/forays against liberties that elites assume they can 'cancel', 'emergencies' are test cases to determine if anyone is paying attention. After the Supreme Court re-affirmed 2nd Amendment rights to 'keep and bear arms', out of the shadows came morons, to 1st restrict—then round up guns.

There's only 2 ways we can re-affirm the Constitution/Bill of Rights—by law or by blood. Here's the way it's supposed to go: state legislatures and Congress pass laws that comply with the Constitution. But, sometimes they make a mistake. Also, state and federal courts 'find', in accord with the Constitution. Sometimes they make mistakes.

That's why there is a Supreme Court, a final arbiter of laws/findings (as in the Bruen decision). Once the 'final arbiter' makes its ruling, lower court findings, as in restricting 2nd Amendment rights, are negated. So what is 'causing' states to defy a SC finding?

Two things: they're imbeciles, evil, or both. If they act knowingly, they are constitutional traitors. Consider it in terms of Trump, who is not allowed to challenge a suspect election. Because he did, he is indicted for many things because 'the left' (controlling much of the infrastructure of government), and many on the 'right', see Trump as sub-human—not protected under the Bill of Rights. That's how they see the Constitution.

Summing up emergencies, if the founding constitution of a nation contains 'emergency provisions', that constitution and any rights/liberty conveyed within it, exist only until a 'ship of fools' tiller-man dictates an 'emergency act' cancelling that constitution.

What premise underlies the US Constitution? The opposite premise we fought against for our independence—the accepted basis for law in Great Britain. 'Royals' were immune from 'redress of grievances' suffered by 'subject's' because the king is infallible: a monarch's authority derives from God—as such not subject to man's law.

Our founders saw the fallacy in that premise, and instead wrote a Constitution/Bill of Rights based on a 'New Testament' premise: each person carries within them the spark of the divine. That premise they fought for—and from whence came the Constitution.

Things to remember: 9th Amendment: *certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.* 10th Amendment: *The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.* No 'emergency power' mandates.

The Bill of Rights, was written with 'shall nots', denying government usurpation over our rights as citizens. With our rights under siege, all is under threat and coming to a head sooner than some pundits think—to be amazed what comes their way. There are no 'end run' provisions in a 'plainly written' US Constitution, so misconstruing original intent, not difficult to figure out), is illegal. Again, the 2nd Amendment speaks for itself.

This past week I wrote no articles. I've been working on a 20 ladder, listening to economists blather (Bill Holter is an exception), and wondering what comes 1st: do I fall off the ladder or does the US economy crash? I'm betting on the economy—soon.

I want to share musings on economists (economics), who, unable to stretch their limited perspectives to geo-politics—serve only to further skew an already skewed reality.

You can get my articles with a request, by email: erik@neverhadaboss.com. Thanks.