



neverhadaboss.com

updates on the insane world of money and power

*"1984"—Tells Our Story*

What goes on in "1984"? There's a never-ending war that is perpetrated such that it can neither be won nor lost. Abject compliance to 'Big Brother' is the rule of the day. Citizens have no rights, none, and are expected to follow stifling rules that govern their entire existence. Even the most obsequious slaves to the system disappear overnight—never heard from again. And, falling in love can earn you a bullet in back of the head.

Then there is torture—from which no-one emerges without a full confession of all crimes they are charged with. But, it's more interesting than that: the object of torture is not to inflict suffering. Instead achieve compliance—total compliance where you betray those closest to you, admit to all accusations against you, maybe return to your life?

"1984" is a measuring stick for tyranny, something to gauge the depth of control into which we have descended. When during our fall, did we lose our right to 'free speech'?

Congressional defense of 'free speech' is gone—exchanged in support of 3 letter institutions (FBI/CIA/etcetera), supposedly put in place to protect democracy, when in fact they do the opposite. Earlier this century, the 'left' (liberals), ardently supported 'free speech'. Now, 'visionaries' among them write papers condemning any open forum.

And what is the argument they rely on? The Constitution/Bill of Rights is not up to the task of dealing with the internet. They would be correct if it weren't for the fact that they are absolutely wrong. The internet was designed as a global, political control mechanism by/for DARPA. But along with its intended purpose of controlling global 'color revolution/regime change', it functioned for Americans as an open discussion forum, just as intended by constitutional 'free speech' rights—mandated to the citizens.

For the elite that was a problem. An internet designed to ensure global control, being used by Americans to question (on a vastly wide-scale), the 'establishment narrative'. Elites could no abide that so they conjured-up ways to censure/cancel 'free speech'.

They attacked open-discussion forums in 2 equally nefarious ways: online, they identified 1st Amendment as abetting terrorism. To counter that they employed an absurd rationale termed 'malinformation', to describe 'facts' that run contrary to 'accepted narrative'. When posted online, bringing charges of illegal 'cyberattack' on democracy. This is a pure "1984" motif—genius—except for the fact that it is mendacious bullshit.

Because elites cannot defend the plethora of lies they lay on us, they cannot abide open discussion, and to that end they attempt to strip us of our rights. So, what do we

do with the Brandeis Supreme Court finding, 'free speech' decision: 'Whitney vs. California—1927? "In order to support a finding of clear and present danger, it must be shown either that immediate serious violence was to be expected or was advocated, or that the past conduct furnished reason to believe that such advocacy was then contemplated." Where is the 'clear/present danger'—in questioning 'transmission of covid'?

Democracy has been redefined, from the will of the people, to protecting democratic institutions. What are these 'democratic institutions'? Oddly, they are not Congress or rule of law, or an independent judiciary, They are those institutions mentioned earlier FBI/HS/CIA/social platforms. So, in a coming descent into hell, what might we expect?

Any conveyance we now use for an 'open discussion' forum, if the elite get their way, will be blocked to us. What might be included? The 'social platforms' for sure, and once the AI algorithm is fully up and running, anything you say in an email or a text. Maybe even something you mention on a phone call? If elites can fully control the Internet (social platforms), along with CBDC/WHO treaty, they will have attained a propaganda ministry to preserve the 'established narrative'. Tyranny will then have 'carried the day'.

But that is not the case presently. Instead, think on the 'Battle of the Bulge' in early January, 1945, when the outcome was far from decided. 'To give up the field' to evil-incarnate elites is reserved to those suffering from an absence of valor—future slaves.

In this final battle, we (and our like-minded friends), are odds-on underdogs to win this—but win we must. And, it must be remembered that the Constitution is the 'law of the land', and every institutional traitor has taken an oath of loyalty to that Constitution/Bill of Rights. We need 1st remind them of their oaths—before we enforce those oaths.

This isn't France where medical truth has been criminalized—not yet. And here, there is no unconstitutional WHO treaty to strip us of our liberty—not yet. Our enemies, at home, comprise a '5th column' that would have us believe elite control is inevitable, against which resistance is futile. But, they neither are, nor deserve to be Americans.

The sickness that now pervades our culture traces to an unbridled 1945 CIA, chronicling the story of our decent into hell. Even with our 'great failings', the US, for 200 years was the greatest nation in the world. Then came sick intelligence agencies, along with Club of Rome eugenicists'. But the greatest surge of evil is coming for us—online.

The internet changed our world for the worse, at 'warp speed', allowing government to fuse with corporations and social networks (there were none in 2004), to do the 'dirty-work' for the feds, laying the groundwork for the 'fascist' nation we have become.

Then there's naysayers, selling a notion that we patriots are marginalized by inadequate numbers when compared to 'the tyranny'. Is this 'reality', or, are they suffering from 'moral turpitude and constitutional cowardice'? As such—they ain't worth a crap.

An argument gets made that 'might' defines 'right'. Does that describe a 1000 year, 3rd Reich that was crushed over 7 years? What is justice? Can there be any justice without an idea of God? Gold is spoken of as 'God's money'—as something immortal/immutable—formed on an idea of God. 'Inalienable rights' are immutable and eternal. No matter the depth of the tyranny, 'rights' are always there—waiting to be adopted.

Am I sounding religious? Maybe, but without pointing to a particular religion. I'm talking about God—as a divine principle that makes life worth living. You know what I mean. Agnostics know—they're just more comfortable with doubt. I pledge my fealty to that on which the existence of everything depends. 'Right makes might'—now—always.

Get my articles by email with a request: erik@neverhadaboss.com. And thank you.